AN EXPLICIT CONSTRUCTION OF DERIVED MODULI STACKS OF HARDER-NARASIMHAN FILTRATIONS

YUKI MIZUNO

ABSTRACT. In this article, we give an explicit construction of the derived moduli stack of Harder-Narasimhan filtrations on a connected projective scheme over an algebraically closed field k of characteristic 0 by using the methods in [3]. Moreover, we describe the derived deformation theory of a filtered sheave on a connected projective scheme over k and compare our construction with the construction by Di Natale in [8].

1. INTRODUCTION

Moduli spaces of sheaves are constructed by using the methods of geometric invariant theory. In the constructions, they are constructed as quotients of open subschemes of Quot schemes that parametrize semistable sheaves. The moduli schemes parametrize only semistable sheaves. Unstable sheaves have unique filtrations described by semistable sheaves, which are called Harder-Narasimhan(HN) filtrations. Although moduli spaces parametrizing unstable sheaves are not constructed as schemes, but as stacks. Moreover, moduli stacks of HN filtrations with fixed HN types are constructed as quotient stacks of open subschemes of relative flag schemes (for example, see [37]).

On the other hand, recent developments of derived algebraic geometry are remarkable. As you can see in [20], the theory of derived algebraic geometry provides a suitable framework for studying symplectic geometry of moduli stacks. The authors proved that the derived moduli stack of perfect complexes on a smooth proper Calabi-Yau variety admits a shifted symplectic structure.

We are interested in derived moduli stacks of HN-filtrations. In particular, the aim of this paper is to give explicit constructions of derived moduli stacks of HN-filtrations as quotient derives stacks. In the case of stable sheaves, explicit constructions of derived moduli spaces are studied in [3] or [4]. Moreover, explicit construction of derived Quot and Hilbert schemes are studied in [6] and [7], respectively.

We use the methods in [3]. In the work, the authors explicitly describe the moduli space of semistable sheaves on a projective variety X as an open substack of the stack of truncated graded A-modules, where $A := \bigoplus_{i>0} \Gamma(X, \mathscr{O}_X(i))$. In detail, they use a functor from the category of coherent sheaves on X to the category of [p,q]-graded A-modules defined by $\Gamma_{[p,q]}(\mathscr{F}) := \bigoplus_{i=p}^{q} \Gamma(X,\mathscr{F}(i))$, where \mathscr{F} is a coherent sheaf on X and p, q are nonnegative integers. Then, a derived enhancement of the moduli of sheaves is constructed by using the structure of the derived moduli of graded A-modules from Hochschild cohomology. However, we need to have some obstacles in order to use their methods. We need more detailed analyses of homological algebra of filtered (graded) modules which is developed by Năstăsescu and Van Oystaeyen [19] and others. We also need to bridge between the deformation theory of filtered (graded) modules and that of filtered sheaves. We have to study the relation between HN-filtrations of sheaves and of graded A-modules to get the explicit description. For this, the work of Hoskins [13] is useful. The author studies HN-filtrations of quiver representations. However, the definition of slopes of graded A-modules is a little different from that of quiver representations. In Section 2, we deal with these problems and describe the image of the moduli stack of HN-filtrations with a fixed HN-type on X inside the moduli stack of filtered [p,q]-graded A-modules explicitly (Theorem 3.15). In Section 3, we construct a derived enhancement of moduli stacks of HN-filtrations and get the

²⁰²⁰ Mathematics Subject Classification. 14A30, 14D20, 14D23.

Key words and phrases. Moduli spaces of sheaves, Derived algebraic geometry, Harder-Narasimhan filtration.

tangent complex at a point $[0 = \mathscr{F}^0 \subset \mathscr{F}^1 \subset \cdots \subset \mathscr{F}^s]$ of the derived stack of HN-filtrations on X (Definition 3.16, Theorem 3.17).

In contrast to our approach, as a functorial approach, Di Natale [8] constructs derived moduli stacks of filtered perfect complexes (on proper smooth schemes) which are locally geometric by using a model structure of the category of filtered complexes of modules over rings. In Section 4, we translate our construction into theories of derived stacks and schemes in the sense of Toën and compare our construction with that by Di Natale. In [1] or [3], functors between the category of Kronecker modules or graded modules over rings to the category of coherent sheaves on projective schemes are constructed. And, they study the relationship between moduli spaces of them. For our purpose, we extend their argument to the theory of derived geometry, i.e. we construct functors between the category of (filtered) derived sheaves and the category of (filtered) A_{∞} -modules and study them (Theorem 4.13). At the end of this section, we mention a simple example of Lagrangian morphisms related to derived moduli of HN-filtrations (Example 4.15).

Moreover, our construction is useful to study details about symplectic geometry of derived moduli stacks of HN-filtrations because a theory for studying symplectic geometry of derived quotient stacks has been developed by Yeung ([35], [36]), recently.

Notation and conventions. We work over an algebraically closed field k of characteristic zero. For $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$, " for $0 \ll m \ll n$ " means $\exists m_0 \forall m \ge m_0 \exists n_0 \forall n \ge n_0$.

2. Preliminaries

2.1. Derived moduli schemes associated to bundles of curved differential graded Lie algebras.

Definition 2.1. ([3]) A curved differential graded Lie algebra is a quadruple $(L^{\bullet}, f, d, [\cdot, \cdot])$, where $(L^{\bullet}, [\cdot, \cdot])$ is a $\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ -graded Lie algebra, $f \in L^2$, and $d: L^{\bullet} \to L^{\bullet}$ is a degree one morphism of graded k-vector spaces such that (1) d(f) = 0, (2) $d \circ d = [f, \cdot]$.

Remark 2.2. f is called the curving and d the twisted differential. If f = 0, then a triple $(L^{\bullet}, d, [\cdot, \cdot])$ is called a differential graded Lie algebra (dgla).

Definition 2.3. ([3]) Let $(L^{\bullet}, d, [\cdot, \cdot])$ be a dgla. Let $a \in L^1$. Then, a is a Maurer–Cartan element if a satisfies the equation

$$da + \frac{1}{2}[a,a] = 0.$$

The set of Maurer-Cartan elements of L is denoted by MC(L).

Theorem 2.4. ([3, 2]) Let X be a scheme over k. Let \mathscr{L} be a bundle of curved differential graded Lie algebra (curved dgla) over X. Then, we can associate a sheaf of differential graded algebras \mathscr{R}_X by letting the underlying sheaf of graded \mathscr{O}_X -algebra be

$$\mathscr{R}_X := \operatorname{Sym}_{\mathscr{O}_X} \mathscr{L}[1]^{\vee}.$$

The derivation q on \mathscr{R}_X is defined to be $q = q_1 + q_2 + q_3$, where $q_0 : \mathscr{L}[1]^{\vee} \to \operatorname{Sym}^0_{\mathscr{O}_X} \mathscr{L}[1]^{\vee}$ is defined by the curving morphism , $q_1 : \mathscr{L}[1]^{\vee} \to \operatorname{Sym}^1_{\mathscr{O}_X} \mathscr{L}[1]^{\vee} = \mathscr{L}[1]^{\vee}$ by the twisted differential and $q_2 : \mathscr{L}[1]^{\vee} \to \operatorname{Sym}^2_{\mathscr{O}_X} \mathscr{L}[1]^{\vee}$ by the bracket.

This defines a differential graded (dg) scheme (\mathscr{R}_X, q) (about dg-schemes, see [6]).

Example 2.5. ([3, 2]) Let $(L^{\bullet}, d, [\cdot, \cdot])$ be a dgla. $X := L^1 = \operatorname{Spec}(\operatorname{Sym}(L^{1\vee}))$. And, $\mathscr{L}^i \to L^1$ is the trivial vector bundle with fiber $L^i (i \ge 2)$. Then, $\{\mathscr{L}^i\}_{i\ge 2}$ have a structure of bundles of curved dgla over L^1 by

Curvatue	$f: L^1 \to \mathscr{L}^2, a \mapsto (a, da + \frac{1}{2}[a, a]),$
Differential	$d': \mathscr{L}^i \to \mathscr{L}^{i+1}, (\mu, b) \mapsto (\mu, db + [\mu, b]),$
Bracket	$[\cdot,\cdot]': \mathscr{L}^i \times \mathscr{L}^j \to \mathscr{L}^{i+j}, ((\mu,b),(\lambda,c)) \mapsto (\mu+\lambda,[b,c]).$

In addition, we have an isomorphism $\operatorname{Spec}(H^0(\mathscr{R}_X)) \simeq \operatorname{MC}(L) = Z(f)$.

2.2. Homological algebra of filtered modules. Let R be a unital commutative ring

Definition 2.6. ([16], [18], [19]) A filtered *R*-module *M* is a *R*-module *M* with a ascending chains $\{M^i \mid i \in \mathbb{Z}\}$ of *R*-submodule of *M* such that if $i \leq 0$, $M^i = 0$ and for $i \gg 0$, $M^i = M$. When we denote the minimum integer of the integers by *s*, we write

$$0 = M^0 \subset M^1 \subset \cdots \subset M^s = M$$

And, let M_1, M_2 be filtered R-modules. A homomorphism of filtered R-modules from M_1 to M_2 is a R-module homomorphism from M_1 to M_2 preserving their filtration. We denote the set of homomorphisms by $\operatorname{Hom}_{R,-}(M_1, M_2)$.

Definition 2.7. ([16], [18], [19]) Let M be a filtered R-module. Then, associated graded module gr(M) is defined to be

$$\operatorname{gr}(M) := \oplus M^i / M^{i-1} \quad \operatorname{gr}_i(M) := M^i / M^{i-1}.$$

Definition 2.8. ([16], [18], [19]) Let I be a filtered R-module. We say I is a filtered injective if the components $gr_i(I)$ of the associated graded module are injective R-modules.

Definition 2.9. ([16], [18], [19]) Let M be a filtered R-module. Then, a filtered injective resolution M is a exact sequence of R-modules

$$0 \to M \to I^0 \to I^1 \to \cdots$$

such that induced sequences

$$0 \to \operatorname{gr}_i(M) \to \operatorname{gr}_i(I^0) \to \operatorname{gr}_i(I^1) \cdots$$

are the injective resolutions of $gr_i(M)$, where I^0, I^1, \cdots be filtered injective *R*-modules.

Definition 2.10. ([10, 15],) Let M, N be a filtered R-module. Let $0 \to M \to I^{\bullet}$ be a filtered injective resolution of R. Then,

$$\operatorname{Ext}_{R,-}^{i}(N,M) := H^{i}(\operatorname{Hom}_{R,-}(N,I^{\bullet})).$$

Remark 2.11. In the above definition, $\operatorname{Ext}_{R,-}(N, M)$ are independent of the choice of the filtered resolution of M. In [10, 15], $\operatorname{Ext}_{-}^{i}(N, M)$ are defined when M, N are filtered coherent sheaves on algebraic varieties.

Theorem 2.12. ([10, 15]) Let M, N be filtered R-modules. There are spectral sequences.

$$\operatorname{Ext}_{R,-}^{p+q}(N,M) \Leftarrow E_1^{pq} = \begin{cases} 0 & p < 0\\ \prod_i \operatorname{Ext}_R^{p+q}(\operatorname{gr}_i(N), \operatorname{gr}_{i-p}(M)) & p \ge 0 \end{cases}$$

2.3. Harder-Narasimhan filtration of sheaves and modules.

2.3.1. For sheaves. Let X be a projective k-scheme of finite type and $\mathcal{O}_X(1)$ be a very ample invertible sheaf on X.

Definition 2.13. Let $P(t), Q(t) \in \mathbb{Q}[t]$. We say $P(t)(\succeq)Q(t)$ if

$$\frac{P(m)}{P(n)}(\geq)\frac{Q(m)}{Q(n)} \quad \text{for} \quad m \gg n \gg 0.$$

Definition 2.14. ([3, 13]) Let \mathscr{F} be a coherent sheaf on X. \mathscr{F} is (semi)stable if for every proper nonzero subsheaf \mathscr{E} , $P(\mathscr{F},t)(\succeq)P(\mathscr{E},t)$, where $P(\mathscr{F},t)$ and $P(\mathscr{E},t)$ are the Hilbert polynomials of \mathscr{F} and \mathscr{E} with respect to $\mathcal{O}_X(1)$ respectively.

Definition 2.15. ([13]) Let \mathscr{F} be a coherent sheaf on X. The Harder-Narasimhan(HN) filtration of \mathscr{F} is a filtration

$$0 = \mathscr{F}^0 \subset \mathscr{F}^1 \subset \cdots \subset \mathscr{F}^s = \mathscr{F}$$

such that the $\mathscr{F}^i/\mathscr{F}^{i-1}$ are semistable and $P(\mathscr{F}^1/\mathscr{F}^0,t) \succ P(\mathscr{F}^2/\mathscr{F}^1,t) \succ \cdots \succ P(\mathscr{F}^s/\mathscr{F}^{s-1},t).$

Theorem 2.16. ([13]) Every coherent sheaf on X has a unique HN-filtration

Remark 2.17. Our definitions of stability and HN-filtration are different from those in [15]. However, these definitions coincide when \mathcal{F} is a pure sheaf. A benefit of our definitions is that we can consider all coherent sheaves within torsion sheaves.

2.3.2. For modules. Let A be a graded k-algebra which is all in non negative degrees and each graded piece is finite-dimensional and $A_0 = k$.

Definition 2.18. ([3]) Let M be a [p,q]-graded k-module. Let $\lambda : A \otimes_k M \to M$ be a homomorphism of graded k-vector space. Then, we call M a λ -module and a k-submodule N of M is a λ -submodule of M if $\lambda(A \otimes_k N) \subset N$.

- *Remark* 2.19. In the above definition, λ is determined by $\lambda|_{A_{[0,q-p]}\otimes_k M}$
 - Any [p,q]-graded A-module M has a natural λ -module structure from the A-module structure of M.

Definition 2.20. Let M be a [p,q]-graded k-module with $\dim M_p + \dim M_q \neq 0$. Let θ_p, θ_q be integers, then

$$\mu_{(\theta_p,\theta_q)}(M) := \frac{\theta_p \dim M_p + \theta_q \dim M_q}{\dim M_p + \dim M_q}.$$

We write μ for $\mu_{(\theta_p, \theta_q)}$ when θ_p, θ_q are obvious.

Definition 2.21. ([3]) Let M be a [p,q]-graded λ -module. Let θ_p, θ_q be integers. M is (semi)stable with respect to (θ_p, θ_q) if for every nonzero proper λ -submodule N, dim $N_p = \dim N_q = 0$ or "dim $N_p + \dim N_q \neq 0$ and $\mu_{(\theta_p, \theta_q)}(N)(\leq)\mu_{(\theta_p, \theta_q)}(M)$ " holds.

Definition 2.22. Let M be a [p,q]-graded λ -module. Let $\theta_p := \dim M_q$ and $\theta_p := -\dim M_p$. The Harder-Narasimhan(HN) filtration of M is a filtration of λ -submodules of M

$$0 = M^0 \subset M^1 \subset \cdots \subset M^s = M$$

such that the M^i/M^{i-1} are semistable with respect to (θ_p, θ_q) and $(M^1/M^0) \succ (M^2/M^1) \succ \cdots \succ (M^s/M^{s-1})$.

For two [p,q]-graded λ -modules N^1, N^2 , we say $N^1(\succeq)N^2$ if $\dim N_p^2 = \dim N_q^2 = 0$ or " $\dim N_p^1 + \dim N_q^1 \neq 0$ and $\dim N_p^2 + \dim N_q^2 \neq 0$ and $\mu_{(\theta_p, \theta_q)}(N^1)(\geq)\mu_{(\theta_p, \theta_q)}(N^2)$ ".

Remark 2.23. The above definition is similar to that of quiver representation, but different from it. The difference derives from the existence of the components M_{p+1}, \dots, M_{q-1} (cf. [24], [38] or [13])

Theorem 2.24. Let M be a [p,q]-graded λ -module. There exists a HN-filtration of M

Proof. We can prove this in the same way as in the proof of [24, Proposition 2.5] or [38, Theorem 2.6] \Box

Remark 2.25. Every [p, q]-graded λ -module dose not necessarily have a unique HN-filtration because the relation \succ in Definition 2.22 is not a stability structure on [25, Def 1.1] (i.e., the seesaw property does not hold). However, note that this does not have negative effects on this article.

3. Moduli stacks of Harder-Narasimhan filtrations

Notation 3.1. • X : a connected projective scheme over k.

- $\mathcal{O}_X(1)$: a very ample imbertible sheaf on X.
- $A := \Gamma_*(\mathscr{O}_X) = \bigoplus_{i \ge 0} \Gamma(X, \mathscr{O}(i)).$
- $Coh_{\alpha}(X)$: the stack of coherent sheaves with Hilbert polynomial α on X.
- $\mathcal{FCoh}_{(\alpha_1,\dots,\alpha_s)}(X)$: the stack of filtered coherent sheaves on X of type $(\alpha_1,\dots,\alpha_s)$
- $\mathcal{FCoh}_{(\alpha_1,\cdots,\alpha_s)}^{\mathrm{HN}}(X)$: the stack of Harder-Narasimhan filtrations (of sheaves) of type $(\alpha_1,\cdots,\alpha_s)$ on X.
- $\mathcal{M}od^{[p,q]}_{\alpha}(A)$: the stack of graded A-modules of type $\alpha|_{[p,q]}$ in degree [p,q].

- $\mathcal{FMod}_{(\alpha_1,\cdots,\alpha_s)}^{[p,q]}(A)$: the stack of filtered graded A-modules of type $(\alpha_1|_{[p,q]},\cdots,\alpha_s|_{[p,q]})$ in degree [p,q], where $\alpha_i|_{[p,q]}$ means a tuple $(\alpha_i(p),\cdots,\alpha_i(q))$.
- $\mathcal{FMod}_{(\alpha_1,\dots,\alpha_s)}^{[p,q],sfg}(A)$: the stack of strongly finitely generated filtered graded A-modules of type $(\alpha_1|_{[p,q]},\dots,\alpha_s|_{[p,q]})$ in degree [p,q].
- $\mathcal{FMod}_{(\alpha_1,\cdots,\alpha_s)}^{[p,q]_{\mathrm{HN}},\mathrm{sfg}}(A)$: the stack of strongly finitely generated filtered graded A-modules of type $(\alpha_1|_{[p,q]},\cdots,\alpha_s|_{[p,q]})$ in degree [p,q] which are HN-filtrations for $(\alpha(q), -\alpha(p))$ -stability.
- $\operatorname{Coh}(Y)$: the category of coherent sheaves on a scheme Y.
- FCoh(Y): the category of filtered coherent sheaves on a scheme Y.
- $\operatorname{Mod}^{[p,q]}(A \otimes \mathscr{O}_Y)$: the category of graded coherent $A \otimes \mathscr{O}_Y$ -modules on Y in the degree [p,q].
- FMod^[p,q] $(A \otimes \mathcal{O}_Y)$: the category of filtered graded coherent $A \otimes \mathcal{O}_Y$ -modules on Y in the degree [p,q].
- $\Gamma_{[p,q]}(\mathscr{F}) := \bigoplus_{i=p}^{q} \pi_*(\mathscr{F}(i))$ for a coherent sheaf \mathscr{F} on $X \times_k Y$ and the natural projection $\pi : X \times_k Y \to Y$.

Remark 3.2. When considering any filtered [p,q]-graded A-modules $0 = M^0 \subset M^1 \subset \cdots \subset M^s = M$, it is strongly finitely generated if each M^i is graded A-module which is generated in degree p.

3.1. **Open embeddings.** In this subsection, we construct an open immersion from the moduli stack of filtered sheaves to that of filtered modules over A

First, we can define the following morphism

$$\Gamma_{[p,q]}^{\text{fil}} : \operatorname{FCoh}(X \times_k Y) \to \operatorname{FMod}^{[p,q]}(A \otimes \mathscr{O}_Y)$$
(3.1.1)

by $\Gamma_{[p,q]}^{\mathrm{fil}}(\mathscr{F}) := \Gamma_{[p,q]}(\mathscr{F}) = \Gamma_{[p,q]}(\mathscr{F}^s) \supset \cdots \supset \Gamma_{[p,q]}(\mathscr{F}^1) \supset \Gamma_{[p,q]}(\mathscr{F}^0) = 0$ for any object $\mathscr{F} = \mathscr{F}^s \supset \cdots \supset \mathscr{F}^1 \supset \mathscr{F}^0 = 0$ because pushforwards are left exact.

The morphism of category

$$\Gamma_{[p,q]}: \operatorname{Coh}(X \times_k Y) \to \operatorname{Mod}^{[p,q]}(A \otimes \mathscr{O}_Y)$$

has the left adjoint \mathscr{S} (see [3, Proposition 3.1]).

3.1.1. Monomorphisms.

Lemma 3.3. $\Gamma_{[p,q]}^{\text{fil}}|_{\mathcal{FCoh}_{(\alpha_1,\cdots,\alpha_s)}^{\text{HN}}(X)} : \mathcal{FCoh}_{(\alpha_1,\cdots,\alpha_s)}^{\text{HN}}(X) \to \mathcal{FMod}_{(\alpha_1,\cdots,\alpha_s)}^{[p,q]}(A)$ is monomorphism if $q \gg p \gg 0$.

Proof. First, let $S := \{\mathscr{F} \in \operatorname{Coh}(X) \mid \text{the HN-type of } \mathscr{F} \text{ is } (\alpha_1, \cdots, \alpha_s)\}$. Then, the sets $S_i = \{\operatorname{gr}_i(\mathscr{F}) \mid \mathscr{F} \in S\}(i \in \{1, \cdots, s\})$ are bounded because the stack of HN-filtrations of type $(\alpha_1, \cdots, \alpha_s)$ is a quotient of a relative flag scheme by an algebrac group (see [37, Lemma 2.5]). So, for $p \gg 0$, any sheaf in $\cup_i S_i$ is *p*-regular, and $\Gamma_{[p,q]}^{\operatorname{fil}}|_{\mathscr{F}\operatorname{Coh}_{(\alpha_1,\cdots,\alpha_s)}^{\operatorname{HN}}(X)}$ is well-defined.

In order to prove $\Gamma_{[p,q]}^{\text{fil}}|_{\mathcal{FCoh}_{(\alpha_1,\dots,\alpha_s)}^{\text{IN}}(X)}$ is a monomorphism, we will prove this is fully faithful because monomorphisms between algebraic stacks are the same as fully faithful functors ([28, Lemma 98.8.4]).

If \mathscr{F}, \mathscr{G} are objects of $\mathcal{FCoh}_{(\alpha_1, \cdots, \alpha_s)}^{\mathrm{HN}}(X)$, we have an isomorphism

$$\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathscr{O}_{X\times Y}}(\mathscr{F},\mathscr{G}) \xrightarrow{\simeq} \operatorname{Hom}_{\operatorname{gr},A\otimes \mathscr{O}_Y}(\Gamma_{[p,q]}(\mathscr{F}),\Gamma_{[p,q]}(\mathscr{G})) \quad q \gg p \gg 0$$

because the induced morphism $\Gamma_{[p,q]} : \mathcal{U}_{\alpha} \to \mathcal{M}od_{\alpha}^{[p,q]}(A)$ is a monomorphism for $q \gg p \gg 0$ ([3, Proposition 3.2]), where \mathcal{U}_{α} is an open substack of $\mathcal{C}oh_{\alpha}(X)$ of finite type. So, $\Gamma_{[p,q]}^{fil}$ is faithful.

Next we show that $\Gamma_{[p,q]}^{\text{fil}}$ is full. We take integers p, q such that $\Gamma_{[p,q]} : \mathcal{U}_{\alpha_i} \to \mathcal{M}od_{\alpha_i}^{[p,q]}(A)$ are monomorphism. Let $\psi : \Gamma_{[p,q]}^{\text{fil}}(\mathscr{F}) \to \Gamma_{[p,q]}^{\text{fil}}(\mathscr{G})$ be a morphism in $\mathcal{F}\mathcal{M}od^{[p,q]}(A)$. From the above isomorphism, there exists a morphism $\varphi : \mathscr{F} \to \mathscr{G}$ such that $\Gamma_{[p,q]}(\varphi) = \psi$. Then, it is sufficient to

see that φ is a morphism in $\mathcal{FCoh}(X)^{\text{HN}}_{(\alpha_1,\cdots,\alpha_s)}$. We may assume that s = 2. And, we consider the following diagrams and the corresponce by $\Gamma_{[p,q]}$ and \mathscr{S} :

$$\begin{pmatrix} \mathscr{F}^2 & \stackrel{\varphi}{\longrightarrow} \mathscr{G}^2 \\ \uparrow & & \uparrow \\ \downarrow^{i_1} & & i_2 \\ \mathscr{F}^1 & \stackrel{\varphi}{\longrightarrow} \mathscr{G}^1 \end{pmatrix} \stackrel{\Gamma_{[p,q]}}{\longmapsto} \begin{pmatrix} \Gamma_{[p,q]} \mathscr{F}^2 & \stackrel{\psi}{\longrightarrow} \Gamma[p,q] \mathscr{G}^2 \\ \uparrow & & \Gamma_{[p,q]} i_1 \\ \Gamma_{[p,q]} \mathscr{F}^1 & \stackrel{\varphi}{\longrightarrow} \Gamma_{[p,q]} i_2 \\ \uparrow \\ \Gamma_{[p,q]} \mathscr{F}^1 & \stackrel{\varphi}{\longrightarrow} \Gamma_{[p,q]} \mathscr{G}^1 \end{pmatrix} \stackrel{\varphi}{\mapsto} \begin{pmatrix} \mathscr{F}_{\Gamma_{[p,q]}} \mathscr{F}^2 & \stackrel{\mathscr{F}_{\psi}}{\longrightarrow} \mathscr{F}_{\Gamma_{[p,q]} i_2} \\ \uparrow & \mathscr{F}_{\Gamma_{[p,q]} i_1} \\ \mathscr{F}_{\Gamma_{[p,q]} \mathscr{F}^1} & \stackrel{\varphi}{\longrightarrow} \mathscr{F}_{\Gamma_{[p,q]} \mathscr{G}^1} \end{pmatrix}$$

, where φ and φ' are the morphisms corresponding to ψ and $\psi|_{\Gamma_{[p,q]}\mathscr{F}^1}$ respectively. And, the middle and the right squares are commutative. A diagram chase and the monomorphisity of $\Gamma_{[p,q]}$ yield the commutativity of the left diagram above because that $\Gamma_{[p,q]}$ is fully faithful and \mathscr{S} is a left adjoint to $\Gamma_{[p,q]}$ is equivalnt to that $\mathscr{S} \circ \Gamma_{[p,q]} \simeq \operatorname{id} ([28, \operatorname{Lemma 4.24.4}]).$

3.1.2. *Étale morphisms*. In this subsection, we prove that the morphism of algebraic stacks

$$\Gamma_{[p,q]}^{\text{fil}}: \mathcal{FC}oh_{(\alpha_1,\cdots,\alpha_s)}(X) \to \mathcal{FM}od_{(\alpha_1,\cdots,\alpha_s)}^{[p,q]}(A)$$

is étale. To prove this, we need to consider the deformation theories of $\mathcal{FC}oh_{(\alpha_1,\dots,\alpha_s)}(X)$ and $\mathcal{FM}od_{(\alpha_1,\dots,\alpha_s)}^{[p,q]}(A)$ and compare them.

First, we consider $\mathcal{FMod}_{(\alpha_1,\dots,\alpha_s)}^{[p,q]}(A)$. $0 = V^0 \subset V^1 \subset \dots \subset V^s = V$ is a filtration of [p,q]-graded k-vector spaces such that $\dim_k V_j^i = \alpha_i(j)$, where $V^i := \bigoplus_{i=p}^q V_j^i$. Then,

$$L := \bigoplus_{n=0}^{\infty} L^n := \bigoplus_{n=0}^{\infty} \operatorname{Hom}_{k-\operatorname{gr}}(A^{\otimes n}, \operatorname{End}_k(V)) = \operatorname{Hom}_{k-\operatorname{gr}}(A^{\otimes n} \otimes V, V)$$

has a dgla structure by the Hochschild differential d and the Gerstenhaber bracket $[\cdot, \cdot]$ (in detail, see [3] or [2]). Note that when let $G := \operatorname{GL}_{\operatorname{gr}}(V)$ (called the gauge group), we have an action of G on L called the gauge action. However, in our situation, we need to consider the filtration of V. So, we consider the following graded vector space

$$L_{-} := \bigoplus_{n=0}^{\infty} L_{-}^{n} := \bigoplus_{n=0}^{\infty} \operatorname{Hom}_{k-\operatorname{gr}}(A^{\otimes n}, \operatorname{End}_{k,-}(V)) = \bigoplus_{n=0}^{\infty} \operatorname{Hom}_{k-\operatorname{gr},-}(A^{\otimes n} \otimes V, V)$$

(for the above notation, see subsection 2.2). Note that L_{-} is a graded k-vector subspace of L. We can easily see Hochschild differential and the Gerstenhaber bracket of L is closed in L_{-} . So, $(L_{-}, d, [\cdot, \cdot])$ is also a dgla. We also consider the parabolic subgroup P of G consisting of elements preserving the filtration of V. This also induces an action on L_{-} . And, we have $MC(L_{-}) = \{\mu \in Hom_{k-gr,-}(V, V) \mid \mu \text{ induces a filtered graded A-module structure }\}$. So, we have

$$[\mathrm{MC}(L_{-})/P] \simeq \mathcal{F}\mathcal{M}\mathrm{od}_{(\alpha_{1},\cdots,\alpha_{s})}^{[p,q]}(A)$$
(3.1.2)

Moreover, since L_{-} , is a dgla, we get a differential graded structure on L_{-} by using Theorem 2.4 and Example 2.5. Because the Lie algebra of P is $\operatorname{Hom}_{k-\operatorname{gr},-}(V,V)$, we can describe the tangent and obstruction spaces of a filtered graded A-module (V,μ) by describing the deformation theory of $[\operatorname{MC}(L_{-})/P]$. I.e.,

The infinitesimal deformation of (V, μ) is classified by $H^1((L_-, d^{\mu}, [\cdot, \cdot]))$,

The obstructions of the deformation of (V, μ) are contained in $H^2((L_-, d^{\mu}, [\cdot, \cdot]))$

, where $(L_-, d^{\mu}, [\cdot, \cdot])$ is a dgla whose differential d^{μ} is defined by $[\mu, \cdot]$ (for detail, see [3] or [2]). Although the right-hand sides of the above equalities are equal to the Hochschild cohomology, we need a lemma to associate them with $\operatorname{Ext}_{A-\operatorname{gr},-}(-,-)$ functor (see Remark 3.5). **Definition 3.4.** (for the case of ungraded filtered modules, see [16], [18], [19]) Let P be a filtered graded A-module. Then, P is filtered projective if $gr_i(P)$ is projective object in the category of graded A-modules for any i.

Let M be a filtered graded A-module. Then, a filtered graded projective resolution of M is a sequence

$$\dots \to P^1 \to P^0 \to M \to 0$$

such that the induced sequences

$$\cdots \to \operatorname{gr}_i(P^1) \to \operatorname{gr}_i(P^0) \to \operatorname{gr}_i(M) \to 0$$

are projective resolutions of $gr_i(M)$ in the category of graded A-module.

Remark 3.5. We have graded versions of Definition 2.6, 2.8, 2.9 as above. And, we can define a graded version $\operatorname{Ext}_{A-\operatorname{gr},-}^{i}(-,-)$ of the filtered Ext functor $\operatorname{Ext}_{A-\operatorname{gr},-}^{i}(-,-)$ in Definition 2.10 and have a graded version of Theorem 2.12.

Lemma 3.6. For filtered graded A-modules M, N, we can calculate $\text{Ext}_{-}(M, N)$ by filtered graded projective resolutions of M. I.e., we have

$$\operatorname{Ext}_{A-\operatorname{gr},-}^{i}(M,N) = H^{i}(\operatorname{Hom}_{A-\operatorname{gr},-}(P^{\bullet},N))$$

, where $P^{\bullet} \to M \to 0$ is any filtered graded projective resolution of M.

Proof. First, we construct a spectral sequence which is convergent to $H^i(\operatorname{Hom}_{A-\operatorname{gr},-}(P^{\bullet}, N))$ by using the idea of the proof of [10, Proposition 1.3]. We have a natural filtration of a chain complex $C = \operatorname{Hom}_{A-\operatorname{gr},-}(P^{\bullet}, N)$:

,where $\operatorname{Hom}_{A-\operatorname{gr},-i}(P^j, N) = \{f \in \operatorname{Hom}_{A-\operatorname{gr}}(P^j, N) \mid f((P^j)_k) \subset N_{k-i}\} (i \in \mathbb{N})$. Although filtrations in Section 2.2 are ascending, note that the above filtration is descending. So, we have a spectral sequence by [34, Theorem 5.5.1] as follows :

$$H^{p+q}(\operatorname{Hom}_{A-\operatorname{gr},-}(P^{\bullet},N)) \Leftarrow E_1^{pq} := \begin{cases} H^{p+q}(F_pC/F_{p+1}C) & p \ge 0\\ 0 & p < 0 \end{cases}$$

Because P^i is filtered graded projective A-module, by [19, I, Lemma 6.4], we have $F_pC/F_{p+1}C$ is isomorphic to $\oplus_i \operatorname{Hom}_{A-\operatorname{gr}}(\operatorname{gr}_i(P^{\bullet}), \operatorname{gr}_{i-p}(N))$ if $p \ge 0$. So, we have

$$H^{p+q}(F_pC/F_{p+1}C) = \bigoplus_i \operatorname{Ext}_{A-\operatorname{gr}}^{p+q}(\operatorname{gr}_i(M), \operatorname{gr}_{i-p}(N)) \quad \text{if } p \ge 0.$$

We have a spectral sequence converging to $H^{p+q}(\operatorname{Hom}_{A-\operatorname{gr},-}(P^{\bullet},N))$ and $\operatorname{Ext}_{A-\operatorname{gr},-}(M,N)$ (Theorem 2.12, Remark 3.5). Thus, we have $H^i(\operatorname{Hom}_{A-\operatorname{gr},-}(P^{\bullet},N)) \simeq \operatorname{Ext}^i_{A-\operatorname{gr},-}(M,N)$.

We can describe the tangent space and the obstruction space of filtered graded A-module M from the above discussion and Lemma 3.6.

Proposition 3.7. For any filtered graded A-module M, then

The infinitesimal deforamtion of M is classified by $\operatorname{Ext}^{1}_{A-\operatorname{gr},-}(M,M)$,

The obstructions of the deformation of M are contained in $\operatorname{Ext}^{2}_{A-\operatorname{gr.}-}(M, M)$.

Proof. This is obtained from Lemma 3.6 and the following isomorphisms:

 $\operatorname{Hom}_{k-\operatorname{gr}}(A^{\otimes \bullet}, \operatorname{Hom}_{k}(M, M)) \simeq \operatorname{Hom}_{A-A-\operatorname{gr}}(B(A, A), \operatorname{Hom}_{k, -}(M, M)) \simeq \operatorname{Hom}_{A-k-\operatorname{gr}, -}(B(A, A) \otimes_{A} M, M)$

, where B(A, A) is the Bar resilution of A. Note that we have the above from [19, Lemma 8.1] and a property of Bar resolution and $B(A, A) \otimes_A M \to M \to 0$ is a filtered graded projective resolution of M (for the non filtered graded case, see [34, Lemma 9.1.9]).

Next, we compare the deformation theories of filtered sheaves on X and filtered graded A-modules. We use the method of [6] where them of sheaves on X and graded A-modules are compared.

Proposition 3.8. ([33]) For any filtered coherent sheaf \mathscr{F} , we can describe the deformation theory of F as follows:

The infinitesimal deformation of \mathscr{F} is classified by $\operatorname{Ext}_{-}^{1}(\mathscr{F}, \mathscr{F})$,

The obstructions of the deformation of \mathscr{F} are contained = $\operatorname{Ext}^2_{-}(\mathscr{F}, \mathscr{F})$.

Lemma 3.9. (a) If \mathscr{F}, \mathscr{G} are filtered coherent sheaves on X, then

$$\operatorname{Ext}^{i}_{-}(\mathscr{F},\mathscr{G}) = \lim_{\stackrel{\rightarrow}{\xrightarrow{p}}} \operatorname{Ext}^{i}_{A-\operatorname{gr},-}(\Gamma^{\operatorname{fil}}_{\geq p}(\mathscr{F}),\Gamma^{\operatorname{fil}}_{\geq p}(\mathscr{G})).$$

(b) If M, N are finitely generated filtered graded A-module, then for $q \gg 0$, we have

$$\operatorname{Ext}_{A-\operatorname{gr},-}^{i}(M,N) = \operatorname{Ext}_{A-\operatorname{gr},-}^{i}(M_{\leq q},N_{\leq q}).$$

Moreover, if let Y be a projective scheme and let \mathcal{M}, \mathcal{N} be filtered graded $A \otimes \mathcal{O}_Y$ -modules whose coponents are locally free sheaves on Y, then we can choose a q such that for any pair $(\mathcal{M}_y, \mathcal{N}_y)(y \in Y)$, the above equality holds.

Proof. (a) Let S be a category whose objects are finitely generated A-modules and morphisms between two objects M and N are

$$\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{S}}(M,N) := \lim_{\substack{\longrightarrow\\p}} \operatorname{Hom}_{A-\operatorname{gr}}(M_{\geq p}, N_{\geq p})$$

([6, page.406-407]). Note that the category $\operatorname{Coh}(X)$ is equivalent to the category \mathcal{S} (see [6, Theorem 1.2.2] or [26]). If let $\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{S},-}(M,N)$ be the subset of $\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{S}}(M,N)$ consisting of filtered preserving morphisms from M to N. Then, we have $\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{S},-}(M,N) = \lim_{p} \operatorname{Hom}_{A-\operatorname{gr},-}(M_{\geq p},N_{\geq p})$. Let $0 \to \Gamma^{\operatorname{fil}}(G) \to I^{\bullet}$ be a filtered injective resolution of $\Gamma^{\operatorname{fil}}(G)$ and let $\mathscr{I}^i := \tilde{I}^i$. The natural functor from the A-graded modules to \mathcal{S} is an exact functor([21, Theorem 6.7]). So, a filtered graded resolution in the category of graded A-modules is one in \mathcal{S} . Any injective object in the category of the graded A-modules is injective in \mathcal{S} from a direct calculation and the definition of injections in \mathcal{S} . It follows that $0 \to \Gamma^{\operatorname{fil}}(G) \to I^{\bullet}$ is also a filtered injective resolution in \mathcal{S} . So, $0 \to \mathscr{G} \to \mathscr{I}^{\bullet}$ is a filtered injective resolution of \mathscr{G} . Thus, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \operatorname{Ext}_{-}^{i}(\mathscr{F},\mathscr{G}) &= H^{i}(\operatorname{Hom}_{-}(\mathscr{F},\mathscr{I}^{\bullet})) = H^{i}(\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{S},-}(\Gamma^{\operatorname{fil}}(\mathscr{F}),I^{\bullet})) \\ &= H^{i}(\lim_{p}\operatorname{Hom}_{A-\operatorname{gr},-}(\Gamma^{\operatorname{fil}}_{\geq p}(\mathscr{F}),I^{\bullet}_{\geq p})) \\ &= \lim_{p} H^{i}(\operatorname{Hom}_{A-\operatorname{gr},-}(\Gamma^{\operatorname{fil}}_{\geq p}(\mathscr{F}),I^{\bullet}_{\geq p})) \end{aligned}$$

In order to show (a), we have to prove the following claim.

Claim 3.10. *For* $i, p \ge 0$ *,*

$$H^{i}(\mathrm{Hom}_{A-\mathrm{gr},-}(\Gamma^{\mathrm{fil}}_{\geq p}(\mathscr{F}),I^{\bullet}_{\geq p}))=\mathrm{Ext}^{i}_{A-\mathrm{gr},-}(\Gamma^{\mathrm{fil}}_{\geq p}(\mathscr{F}),\Gamma^{\mathrm{fil}}_{\geq p}(\mathscr{G}))$$

Proof of Claim 3.10. For simplicity, $M := \Gamma^{\text{fil}}(\mathscr{F})$. First, we have a spectral sequence in the same way as in the proof of Lemma 3.6 :

$$H^{i+j}(\operatorname{Hom}_{A-\operatorname{gr},-}(M_{\geq p}, I^{\bullet}_{\geq p})) \Leftarrow E_1^{ij} := \begin{cases} H^{i+j}(F_i C_{\geq p}/F_{i+1}C_{\geq p}) & i \geq 0\\ 0 & i < 0 \end{cases}$$

, where $F_i C_{\geq p} := \{0 \to \operatorname{Hom}_{A-\operatorname{gr},-i}(M_{\geq p}, I^0_{\geq p}) \to \operatorname{Hom}_{A-\operatorname{gr},-i}(M_{\geq p}, I^1_{\geq p}) \to \cdots \}$. Then, we see that

$$F_i C_{\geq p} / F_{i+1} C_{\geq p} = \bigoplus_k \operatorname{Hom}_{A-\operatorname{gr}}(\operatorname{gr}_k(M_{\geq p}), \operatorname{gr}_{k-i}(I^{\bullet}_{\geq p})) \quad \text{if } i \geq 0.$$
(3.1.3)

To prove 3.1.3, we use the idea of the proof of [16, Chapitre V, Lemme 1.4.2]. First, note that each $I_{\geq p}^i$ is a direct sum of truncations of graded injective modules because each I^i is a direct sum of graded injective modules. When $0 = N^0 \subset N^1 \subset \cdots \subset N^t =: N$ and $0 = J^0 \subset J^1 \subset \cdots \subset J^t := J$ are filtered graded A-modules and J^{i+1}/J^i are injective objects (so each J^{\bullet} is a direct sum of injective objects), then we have

$$\operatorname{Hom}_{A-\operatorname{gr},-}(N_{\geq p}, J_{\geq p}) = \operatorname{Hom}_{A-\operatorname{gr},-}(N_{\geq p}, J) = \oplus_{k=0} \operatorname{Hom}_{A-\operatorname{gr}}((N/N^{t-k-1})_{\geq p}, J^{t-k}/J^{t-k-1}) = \oplus_{k=0} \operatorname{Hom}_{A-\operatorname{gr}}((N/N^{t-k-1})_{\geq p}, (J^{t-k}/J^{t-k-1})_{\geq p}).$$

Remark 3.11. The second equal above can be obtained by the following correspondence.

$$\operatorname{Hom}_{A-\operatorname{gr},-}(N_{\geq p},J) \rightleftharpoons \oplus_{k=0}^{t-1} \operatorname{Hom}_{A-\operatorname{gr}}((N/N^{t-k-1})_{\geq p},J^{t-k}/J^{t-k-1})$$

$$\psi \longmapsto \oplus_{k=0}^{t-1}(\operatorname{pr}_{t-k}\circ\psi)$$

$$\oplus_{k=0}^{t-1}(\varphi_{t-k}\circ\pi_{t-k-1}) \longleftarrow \oplus_{k=0}^{t-1}\varphi_{t-k}$$

where $\operatorname{pr}_{t-k} : J = \bigoplus_{k=0}^{t-1} J^{t-k} / J^{t-k-1} \to J^{t-k} / J^{t-k-1}$ and $\pi_{t-k} : N \to N/N^{t-k}$ are the natural projections.

So, if let $M := (M = M^s \supset M^{s-1} \supset \cdots \supset M^0 = 0)$ as a filtered module, we have

$$F_i C_{\geq p} = \operatorname{Hom}_{A-\operatorname{gr},-i}(M_{\geq p}, I^{\bullet}_{\geq p}) = \bigoplus_{k=0} \operatorname{Hom}_{A-\operatorname{gr}}(M_{\geq p}/(M^{s-i-k-1})_{\geq p}, \operatorname{gr}_{s-i-k}(I^{\bullet}_{\geq p})),$$

$$F_i C_{\geq p}/F_{i+1} C_{\geq p} = \bigoplus_{k=0} \frac{\operatorname{Hom}_{A-\operatorname{gr}}((M/M^{s-k-1})_{\geq p}, \operatorname{gr}_{s-i-k}(I^{\bullet}_{\geq p}))}{\operatorname{Hom}_{A-\operatorname{gr}}((M/M^{s-k})_{\geq p}, \operatorname{gr}^{s-i-k}(I^{\bullet}_{\geq p}))}.$$

Then, we apply the functor $\operatorname{Hom}_{A-\operatorname{gr}}(-, \operatorname{gr}_{s-i-k}(I^{\bullet}_{>p}))$ to the exact sequence

$$0 \to \operatorname{gr}_{s-k}(M_{\geq p}) \to (M/M^{s-k-1})_{\geq p} \to (M/M^{s-k})_{\geq p} \to 0.$$

Because I_{s-i-k}^{\bullet} is an injective module and $\operatorname{Hom}_{A-\operatorname{gr}}(L_{\geq p}, \operatorname{gr}_{s-i-k}(I_{\geq p}^{\bullet})) = \operatorname{Hom}_{A-\operatorname{gr}}(L_{\geq p}, \operatorname{gr}_{s-i-k}(I_{\geq p}^{\bullet}))$ for a graded A-module L, we have an exact sequence

$$\begin{split} 0 &\to \operatorname{Hom}_{A-\operatorname{gr}}((M/M^{s-k})_{\geq p}, \operatorname{gr}_{s-i-k}(I^{\bullet}_{\geq p})) \to \operatorname{Hom}_{A-\operatorname{gr}}((M/M^{s-k-1})_{\geq p}, \operatorname{gr}_{s-i-k}(I^{\bullet}_{\geq p})) \\ &\to \operatorname{Hom}_{A-\operatorname{gr}}(\operatorname{gr}_{s-k}(M_{\geq p}), \operatorname{gr}_{s-i-k}(I^{\bullet}_{\geq p})) \to 0. \end{split}$$

Therefore, we have

$$F_i C_{\geq p} / F_{i+1} C_{\geq p} = \bigoplus_{k=0} \operatorname{Hom}_{\operatorname{gr}}(\operatorname{gr}_{s-k}(M_{\geq p}), \operatorname{gr}_{s-i-k}(I_{\geq p}^{\bullet})).$$

This is the desired equality.

Finally, because $\operatorname{Ext}_{A-\operatorname{gr}}^{i}(\operatorname{gr}_{s-k}(M_{\geq p}), \operatorname{gr}_{s-i-k}(I_{\geq p}^{\bullet})) = 0$ ([6, Lemma 4.3.7]), we have $\operatorname{gr}_{s-i-k}(I_{\geq p}^{\bullet})$ is $\operatorname{Hom}_{A-\operatorname{gr}}((M^{s-k}/M^{s-k-1})_{\geq p}, -)$ -acyclic. Thus, we have

$$H^{i+j}(F_iC_{\geq p}/F_{i+1}C_{\geq p}) = \oplus \operatorname{Ext}_{A-\operatorname{gr}}^{i+j}(\operatorname{gr}_k(M_{\geq p}), \operatorname{gr}_{k-i}(N_{\geq p})).$$

So, in the same way as in the proof of Lemma 3.6, we have the claim.

Therefore, from Claim 3.10 and the above discussion, we have

$$\mathrm{Ext}^i_-(\mathscr{F},\mathscr{G}) = \lim_{\stackrel{\rightarrow}{p}} \mathrm{Ext}^i_{A-\mathrm{gr},-}(\Gamma^{\mathrm{fil}}_{\geq p}(\mathscr{F}),\Gamma^{\mathrm{fil}}_{\geq p}(\mathscr{G})).$$

(b) Next, it is sufficient to prove that if let $F^{\bullet} \to M \to 0$ be a filtered graded free resolution of M, then for $q \gg 0$

$$\operatorname{Ext}_{A-\operatorname{gr},-}^{i}(M_{\leq q}, N_{\leq q}) = H^{i}(\operatorname{Hom}_{A-\operatorname{gr},-}(F_{\leq q}^{\bullet}, N_{\leq q})).$$

First note that the truncated complex $F_{\leq q}^{\bullet} \to M_{\leq q} \to 0$ is a respondition of $M_{\leq q}$ (which is not free). In the same way as in the proof of 3.6, we can get a spectral sequence

$$H^{i+j}(\operatorname{Hom}_{A-\operatorname{gr},-}(P^{\bullet}_{\leq q}, N_{\leq q})) \Leftarrow E_1^{ij} := \begin{cases} H^{i+j}(F_i C_{\leq q}/F_{i+1}C_{\leq q}) & i \ge 0\\ 0 & i < 0 \end{cases}$$

, where $F_i C_{\leq q} := \{0 \to \operatorname{Hom}_{A-\operatorname{gr},-i}(P^0_{\leq q}, N_{\leq q}) \to \operatorname{Hom}_{A-\operatorname{gr},-i}(P^1_{\leq q}, N_{\leq q}) \to \cdots \}$. And, we have

$$H^{i+j}(F_iC_{\leq q}/F_{i+1}C_{\leq q}) = \bigoplus_k \operatorname{Ext}_{A-\operatorname{gr}}^{i+j}(\operatorname{gr}_k(M_{\leq q}), \operatorname{gr}_{k-i}(N_{\leq q})) \quad \text{if } i \geq 0$$

because the similar equality to 3.1.3 holds and $\operatorname{Ext}_{A-\operatorname{gr}}^{i}(M_{\leq q}, N_{\leq q})$ are calculated by using the truncated resolution $F_{\leq q}^{\bullet} \to M_{\leq q} \to 0$ ([6, page 437]).

The latter claim is proved samely by using the fact any coherent sheaf on a projective scheme Y has a resolution by graded $A \otimes \mathcal{O}_Y$ -modules \mathscr{F}^{\bullet} which are of the form $\mathscr{F}^i = A \otimes \mathscr{E}^{\bullet}$ such that each \mathscr{E}^i is locally free sheaves of finite rank (see also [6, page 437]).

Corollary 3.12. There exists $q \gg p \gg 0$ such that

$$\operatorname{Ext}_{-}^{i}(\mathscr{F},\mathscr{F}) = \operatorname{Ext}_{A-\operatorname{gr},-}^{i}(\Gamma_{[p,q]}^{\operatorname{fil}}(\mathscr{F}),\Gamma_{[p,q]}^{\operatorname{fil}}(\mathscr{F}))$$

for all k-points \mathscr{F} of $\mathcal{FCoh}^{HN}_{(\alpha_1,\cdots,\alpha_s)}(X)$.

Proof. From (a) of Lemma we have 3.9, $\operatorname{Ext}_{-}^{i}(\mathscr{F},\mathscr{F}) = \operatorname{Ext}_{A-\operatorname{gr},-}^{i}(\Gamma_{\geq p}^{\operatorname{fil}}(\mathscr{F}), \Gamma_{\geq p}^{\operatorname{fil}}(\mathscr{F}))$ for $0 \ll p$. Moreover, we assume that p,q are independent of \mathscr{F} because $\mathcal{FC}oh_{(\alpha_{1},\cdots,\alpha_{s})}^{\operatorname{HN}}(X)$ is of finite type. Then, we apply (b) of the lemma.

Proposition 3.13. $\Gamma_{[p,q]}^{\text{fil}}$ is an étale morphism if $q \gg p \gg 0$

Proof. This is followed from Proposition 3.7, Proposition 3.8 and Corollary 3.13. For the non-filtered case, see [3, Proposition 3.3]. \Box

Finally, we can get the main result in this subsection by combining Lemma 3.3 and Proposition 3.13.

Corollary 3.14. $\Gamma^{\text{fil}}_{[p,q]}$ is an open immersion if $q \gg p \gg 0$

3.2. Explicit descriptions. In this subsection, we describe the image of $\Gamma_{[p,q]}^{\text{fil}}|_{\mathcal{FC}oh_{(q)}^{\text{HN}}}$

Theorem 3.15. For $q \gg p' \gg p \gg 0$, the functor $\Gamma_{[p,q]}^{\text{fil}}$ induces an open immersion

$$\Gamma_{[p,q]}^{\mathrm{fil}}|_{\mathcal{FC}\mathrm{oh}_{(\alpha_1,\cdots,\alpha_s)}^{\mathrm{HN}}(X)}:\mathcal{FC}\mathrm{oh}_{(\alpha_1,\cdots,\alpha_s)}^{\mathrm{HN}}(X)\to\mathcal{FM}\mathrm{od}_{(\alpha_1,\cdots,\alpha_s)}^{[p,q],\mathrm{sfg}}(A)$$

whose image is equal to the locus of whose truncations into the intervals [p,q] and [p',q]are HN-filtrations respectively. We denote this open substack of $\mathcal{FMod}_{(\alpha_1,\dots,\alpha_s)}^{[p,q],sfg}(A)$ by $\mathcal{FMod}_{(\alpha_1,\dots,\alpha_s)}^{[p,q],n,sfg,[p',q],n}(A)$.

Proof.

Step 1. For $p \gg 0$ and any sheaf \mathscr{F} with HN-filtration $0 = \mathscr{F}^0 \subset \mathscr{F}^1 \subset \cdots \subset \mathscr{F}^s = \mathscr{F}$, all $\Gamma^{\text{fil}}_{[p,q]}(\mathscr{F}^{i+1}/\mathscr{F}^i)$ are generated in degree p because $\mathscr{F}^{i+1}/\mathscr{F}^i$ is assumed to be p-regular. It follows that $\Gamma^{\text{fil}}_{[p,q]}|_{\mathscr{F}\text{Coh}^{\text{HN}}_{(\alpha_1,\dots,\alpha_s)}(X)}$ is an open immersion from Corollary 3.14 for $q \gg p \gg 0$.

Step 2. Next we show any HN-filtration in $\mathcal{FCoh}_{(\alpha_1,\dots,\alpha_s)}(X)$ is sent to a HN-filtration in $\mathcal{FMod}_{(\alpha_1,\dots,\alpha_s)}^{[p,q],sfg}(A)$ for $q \gg p \gg 0$. Note that for $q \gg p \gg 0$, any coherent sheaf \mathscr{G} on X with Hilbert polynomial α is semistable if and only if $\Gamma_{[p,q]}(\mathscr{G})$ is semistable with respect to $(\alpha(q), -\alpha(p))$ -stability by [3, Theorem 3.7]. For two sheaves $\mathscr{G}_1, \mathscr{G}_2$ with Hilbert polynomial α , $\mu_{(\alpha(q), -\alpha(p))}(\Gamma_{[p,q]}\mathscr{G}_1) > \mu_{(\alpha(q), -\alpha(p))}(\Gamma_{[p,q]}\mathscr{G}_2)$ if and only if $h^0(\mathscr{G}_1(p))/h^0(\mathscr{G}_1(q)) > h^0(\mathscr{G}_2(p))/h^0(\mathscr{G}_2(q))$ from the following calculations (a similar statement is mentioned in [13, Lemma 5.2], where representations of quivers are treated and the slope treated there is different from ours):

$$\mu_{(\alpha(q),-\alpha(p))}(\Gamma_{[p,q]}(\mathscr{G}_{i})) = \frac{\alpha(q)h^{0}(\mathscr{G}_{i}(p)) - \alpha(p)h^{0}(\mathscr{G}_{i}(q))}{h^{0}(\mathscr{G}_{i}(p)) + h^{0}(\mathscr{G}_{i}(q))} = -\alpha(p) + \frac{(\alpha(p) + \alpha(q))h^{0}(\mathscr{G}_{i}(p))}{h^{0}(\mathscr{G}_{i}(p)) + h^{0}(\mathscr{G}_{i}(q))} \quad (i = 1, 2),$$

$$\begin{split} & \mu_{(\alpha(q),-\alpha(p))}(\Gamma_{[p,q]}(\mathscr{G}_{1})) - \mu_{(\alpha(q),-\alpha(p))}(\Gamma_{[p,q]}(\mathscr{G}_{2})) \\ &= (\alpha(p) + \alpha(q)) \left(\frac{h^{0}(\mathscr{G}_{1}(p))}{h^{0}(\mathscr{G}_{1}(p)) + h^{0}(\mathscr{G}_{1}(q))} - \frac{h^{0}(\mathscr{G}_{2}(p))}{h^{0}(\mathscr{G}_{2}(p)) + h^{0}(\mathscr{G}_{2}(q))} \right) \\ &= \frac{(\alpha(p) + \alpha(q))h^{0}(\mathscr{G}_{1}(q))h^{0}(\mathscr{G}_{1}(q))}{(h^{0}(\mathscr{G}_{1}(p)) + h^{0}(\mathscr{G}_{1}(q)))(h^{0}(\mathscr{G}_{2}(p)) + h^{0}(\mathscr{G}_{2}(q)))} \left(\frac{h^{0}(\mathscr{G}_{1}(p))}{h^{0}(\mathscr{G}_{1})(q)} - \frac{h^{0}(\mathscr{G}_{2}(p))}{h^{0}(\mathscr{G}_{2})(q)} \right) \end{split}$$

If let $\alpha(t) := \sum_{i=1}^{s} \alpha_i(t)$, this proves that $\Gamma_{[p,q]}(\mathscr{F}^{i+1}/\mathscr{F}^i)$ is semistable with respect to $(\alpha(q), -\alpha(p))$ and $\mu_{(\alpha(q), -\alpha(p))}(\Gamma_{[p,q]}(\mathscr{F}^{i+1}/\mathscr{F}^i)) > \mu_{(\alpha(q), -\alpha(p))}(\Gamma_{[p,q]}(\mathscr{F}^{i+2}/\mathscr{F}^{i+1}))$ (cf.[13, Theorem 5.7]). In the same way, we can show that for $q \gg p' \gg p \gg 0$ and any HN-filtration $\mathscr{F} \in \mathcal{FCoh}_{(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_s)}(X)$, $\Gamma_{[p,q]}(\mathscr{F})|_{\geq p'}$ is also a HN-filtration with respect to $(\alpha(q), -\alpha(p'))$ -stability.

In the rest of the proof, we show the following : For $q \gg p' \gg p \gg 0$, any $M \in \mathcal{FM}od^{[p,q],sfg}_{(\alpha_1,\cdots,\alpha_s)}(A)$ such that $M_{\geq p'}$ is a HN-filtration is sent to a object of $\mathcal{FC}oh^{\mathrm{HN}}_{(\alpha_1,\cdots,\alpha_s)}(X)$.

Step 3. Let $M = M^s \supset \cdots \supset M^1 \supset M^0 = 0$ be a filtered graded A-module in [p,q] of dimension $\alpha|_{[p,q]}$ and generated in degree p. Then, we have exact sequences

$$0 \to K^i \to A_{[0,q-p]} \otimes_k M^i_p \to M^i \to 0$$

where $K^i := \operatorname{Ker}(A_{[0,q-p]} \otimes_k M_p^i \to M^i)$. They are compatible with the filtrations of $M^{\bullet}, A_{[0,q-p]} \otimes_k M_p^{\bullet}$ and K^{\bullet} when we think of them as filtered modules. Then, if let $K'^i \subset A \otimes M_p^i$ be a submodule generated by K_i in $A \otimes M_p^i$, then $\mathscr{S}(M^i) \simeq A \otimes_k M_p^i/K'^i$ where $A \otimes_k M_p^i/K'^i$ is the associated sheaf of $A \otimes_k M_p^i/K'^i$ (see [26], [3, Theorem 3.10]). Note that K'^i is generated in degree p+1 if $p \gg 0$. The functor $\tilde{}$ is exact. So, any filtered graded A-module in $\mathcal{FMod}_{(\alpha_1,\cdots,\alpha_s)}^{[p,q],\mathrm{sfg}}(A)$ is sent a filtered coherent sheaf on X by \mathscr{S} .

In the proof of [3, Theorem 3.10], any finitely [p,q]-graded A-module M in degree p with dimension vector $\alpha|_{[p,q]}$ is sent to a p'-regular coherent sheaf with Hilbert polynomial α and $\Gamma_{[p,q]}(\mathscr{S}(M))_{\geq p'} \simeq M_{\geq p'}$ for $q > p' \gg p \gg 0$. The choice of p' is dependent only on p. So, a similar claim holds : for any $(0 = M^0 \subset M^1 \subset \cdots \subset M^s = M) \in \mathcal{FMod}_{(\alpha_1, \cdots, \alpha_s)}^{[p,q],sfg}(A)$, it holds that each $\mathscr{S}(M_{i+1})/\mathscr{S}(M_i) = \mathscr{S}(M^{i+1}/M^i)$ is p'-regular with Hilbert polynomial α_{i+1} and $\Gamma_{[p,q]}(\mathscr{S}(M^i))_{\geq p'} \simeq (M^i)|_{\geq p'}$ for $q \gg p' \gg p \gg 0$.

Step 4. We take $q \gg p' \gg p \gg 0$ so that we have (1) : the last sentence of Step 4 holds and (2) : any coherent sheaf \mathscr{G} on X with Hilbert Polynomial α_i is semistable if and only if $\Gamma_{[p,q]}(\mathscr{G})$ (resp. $\Gamma_{[p',q]}(\mathscr{G})$) is semistable with respect to $(\alpha_i(q), \alpha_i(p))$ -stability (resp. $(\alpha_i(q), \alpha_i(p'))$ -stability) for all i (cf. Step 2). Then, any $M \in \mathcal{FMod}_{(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_s)}^{[p,q],sfg}(A)$ is sent to a filtered sheaf in $\mathcal{FCoh}_{(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_s)}(X)$ such that the $\mathscr{S}(M^{i+1}/M^i)$ are semistable because $(\alpha(q), -\alpha(p'))$ -stability is equivalent to $(\alpha_{i+1}(q), -\alpha_{i+1}(p'))$ -stability. So, $\mathscr{S}(M)$ is a HN-filtration and p-regular.

Step 5. We show $\Gamma_{[p,q]}(\mathscr{S}(M)) \simeq M$. Note that each $(\mathscr{S}(M^{i+1}/M^i)_p, \mathscr{S}(M^{i+1}/M^i)_q)$ is a semistable Kronecker module. Then, it follows that $\Gamma(\mathscr{S}(M^{i+1}/M^i)(q)) \simeq (M^{i+1}/M^i)_q$ from the choice of q. We also have the natural morphism $\Gamma(\mathscr{S}(M^{i+1}/M^i)(p)) \to (M^{i+1}/M^i)_p$ is injective by the above note (see also [1, Prop 5.13]). Moreover this is an isomorphism because of the dimensions of $\Gamma(\mathscr{S}(M^{i+1}/M^i)(p)), (M^{i+1}/M^i)_p$ (we use the p-regurality of $\mathscr{S}(M^{i+1}/M^i)$). So, we have a commutative diagram

It follows that the right vertical arrow is isomorphism from the equality of the dimension vectors of M^{i+1}/M^i and $\Gamma_{[p,q]}\mathscr{S}(M^{i+1}/M^i)$. Here, we have

, where the surjectivity of the arrow $\Gamma_{[p,q]}\mathscr{S}(M^{i+1}) \to \Gamma_{[p,q]}\mathscr{S}(M^{i+1}/M^i)$ comes from the *p*-regularity of $\mathscr{S}(M^i)$. If the left and the right vertical arrows are isomorphism, then so is the middle one from the five lemma. Therefore, inductively we can show that $M^i \simeq \Gamma_{[p,q]}\mathscr{S}(M^{i+1}/M^i)$ and this completes the proof.

3.3. **Derived enhancement.** Finally, we can define derived moduli stacks of Harder-Narasimhan filtrations. This is our aim in this paper.

Let $\alpha_1(t), \alpha_2(t), \dots, \alpha_s(t) \in \mathbb{Q}[t]$ such that $\alpha_1(t) \succ \alpha_2(t) \succ \dots \succ \alpha_s(t)$. We take integers p, p', qso that Theorem 3.15 holds for $\Gamma_{[p,q]}^{\text{fil}}|_{\mathcal{FCoh}_{(\alpha_1,\dots,\alpha_s)}^{\text{HN}}(X)} : \mathcal{FCoh}_{(\alpha_1,\dots,\alpha_s)}^{\text{HN}}(X) \to \mathcal{FMod}_{(\alpha_1,\dots,\alpha_s)}^{[p,q],\text{sfg}}(A)$. Let V be an open subscheme of MC(L_-) obtained by the pullback of $\mathcal{FMod}_{(\alpha_1,\dots,\alpha_s)}^{[p,q],\text{sfg},[p',q]-\text{HN}}(A)$ from the following diagram:

And we define a *P*-equivariant open subscheme U of L^1_{-} to be

$$U := \left\{ \begin{aligned} \lambda : A \otimes_k V \to V \in L^1_- \text{ such that the truncations of } \lambda \text{ to } [p,q] \text{ and } [p',q] \text{ is } \\ \text{HN-filtrations respectively and } V \text{ is strongly finitely generated} \end{aligned} \right\}$$

Note that the restriction of U to $MC(L_{-})$ is the image of $\Gamma_{[p,q]}^{fil}$ in Theorem 3.15.

Definition 3.16. Under the condition above, we the derived moduli stack $\mathcal{RFCoh}_{(\alpha_1,\dots,\alpha_s)}^{\mathrm{HN}}(X)$ of Harder-Narasimhan filtrations of type $(\alpha_1,\dots,\alpha_s)$ on X is the restriction of the derived moduli stacks $[L_-/P]$ to [U/P].

The derived moduli stacks $\mathcal{RFC}oh_{(\alpha_1,\dots,\alpha_s)}^{HN}(X)$ is suitable for the definition of derived moduli stacks of HN-filtrations. They have the following property from the discussion from the discussion so far.

Theorem 3.17. We have the following.

(a) Let
$$\pi_0(\mathcal{RFCoh}_{(\alpha_1,\cdots,\alpha_s)}^{\mathrm{HN}}(X)) := \operatorname{Spec}(H^0(\mathscr{O}_{\mathcal{RFCoh}_{(\alpha_1,\cdots,\alpha_s)}^{\mathrm{HN}}(X)}))$$
. Then,
 $\pi_0\mathcal{RFCoh}_{(\alpha_1,\cdots,\alpha_s)}^{\mathrm{HN}}(X) \simeq \mathcal{FCoh}_{(\alpha_1,\cdots,\alpha_s)}^{\mathrm{HN}}(X).$
(3.3.2)

(b) If
$$0 = \mathscr{F}^0 \subset \mathscr{F}^1 \subset \cdots \subset \mathscr{F}^s = \mathscr{F}$$
 is a HN-filtration of type $(\alpha_1, \cdots, \alpha_s)$ on X, then
 $H^i T^{\bullet}_{[\mathscr{F}]} \mathcal{RFC}oh^{\mathrm{HN}}_{(\alpha_1, \cdots, \alpha_s)}(X) \simeq \operatorname{Ext}^i_{-}(\mathscr{F}, \mathscr{F}) \quad i \ge 0$
(3.3.3)

, where $T^{\bullet}_{[\mathscr{F}]}\mathcal{RFCoh}^{\mathrm{HN}}_{(\alpha_{1},\cdots,\alpha_{s})}(X)$ is the tangent dg-space of $\mathcal{RFCoh}^{\mathrm{HN}}_{(\alpha_{1},\cdots,\alpha_{s})}(X)$ at \mathscr{F} .

Remark 3.18. For the definition of tangent dg-spaces, see [3, Section 1D], [7, Def 5.1.5]. $\mathcal{RFCoh}_{(\alpha_1,\dots,\alpha_s)}^{\mathrm{HN}}(X)$ is uniquely determined up to quasi-equivalences of dg-stacks independent of the choice of p, p', q.

4. Comparing with another construction of derived moduli stacks of filtered sheaves

Notation 4.1. • SSet: the category of simplicial sets

- Cat: the category of categories
- Grpd: the category of groupoids
- sCat : the category of simplicial categories
- $\mathrm{dg}_{<0}\mathrm{Alg}_k$: the category of non-positive graded d
gk-algabras
- $\deg_{\leq 0} \operatorname{Nil}_k^{\flat}$: the full subcategory of $\deg_{\leq 0} \operatorname{Alg}_k$ consisting of C whose natural augmented map $C \to H^0(C)$ has a nilpotent kernel and $C_i = 0$ for all $i \ll 0$.
- for $C \in \text{dg}_{\leq 0}\text{Alg}_k$, Spec(C) is the associated affine dg-scheme (cf. [6, Section 2.2])

In this section, we compare our construction of derived stacks of HN-filtrations with that of derived moduli stacks of filtered sheaves by Di Natale ([8]).

4.1. **Translation of our construction.** Since we construct derived stacks of HN-filtrations as dgstacks, we translate them into derived stacks in the sense of Toën ([29], [32]). In this section, "derived stacks and schemes" means derived stacks and schemes in the sense of Toën respectively. So, derived stacks and schemes are distinguished from dg-stacks and schemes respectively.

Let L_{-}, P be as in Definition 3.16. Let X be $\text{Spec}(L_{-}^{1})$ and \mathscr{R}_{-} be the sheaf of dg-algebras on X which is associated to L_{-} . In addition, $B_{-} := \Gamma(X, \mathscr{R}_{-})$. In the context of [31, Section 3.3], our construction of dg stacks of filtered modules is translated into the language of derived stack.

Definition 4.2. Let $\mathbb{R}\text{Spec}(B_{-})$ be the derived scheme associated to B_{-} . Then, from the action of P on B_{-} , we define a simplicial derived affine scheme

$$\mathbb{R}\mathrm{Spec}(B_{-}) \times^{h}_{k} P^{\bullet} : [n] \mapsto \mathbb{R}\mathrm{Spec}(B_{-}) \times^{h}_{k} \overbrace{P \times^{h}_{k} \cdots \times^{h}_{k} P}^{(n-1)\text{-times}}.$$

And, we define

$$\mathbb{R}\mathrm{Spec}(B_{-})/P] := \mathrm{Hocolim}_{n \in \Delta^{\mathrm{op}}}(\mathbb{R}\mathrm{Spec}(B_{-}) \times^{h}_{k} P^{n}).$$

Definition 4.3. We set Ω_n to be the dg algebra

$$k[t_0, t_1, \cdots, t_n, dt_0, dt_1, \cdots, dt_n] / (\sum t_i - 1, \sum dt_i)$$

, where t_i are degree 0 and dt_i are degree 1.

By using techniques in [22], we consider a groupoid-valued functor. We define the groupoid-valued functor $\mathcal{F}_{-}: \mathrm{dg}_{<0}\mathrm{Nil}_{k}^{\flat} \to \mathrm{Grpd}$ as the stackification of the groupoid presheaf

$$C \mapsto [\operatorname{MC}(L_{-} \otimes_{k} C)/P \otimes_{k} C^{0}]_{\operatorname{act}}$$

in the strict étale topology ([22, Def 2.17]), where $[MC(L_{-} \otimes_{k} C)/P \otimes_{k} C^{0}]_{act}$ means the Deligne groupoid obtained from the action $P \otimes_{k} C_{0} \sim MC(L_{-} \otimes_{k} C)$.

We define a simplicial enrichment $\mathcal{F}_{-}: \mathrm{dg}_{<0}\mathrm{Nil}_{k}^{\flat} \to [\Delta^{\mathrm{op}}, \mathrm{Cat}]$ as

$$\mathcal{F}_{-}(C):[n]\mapsto \mathcal{F}_{-}(\tau_{\leq 0}(C\otimes_k\Omega_n))$$

, where τ means the canonical truncation functor of cochain complexes. In addition, we applying the nerve functor N and the right adjoint \overline{W} to Illusie's total decalage functor ([22, Def 1.25]) to $\underline{\mathcal{F}}_{-}$ and we get

$$(\overline{W} \circ N)(\mathcal{F}_{-}) : \mathrm{dg}_{<0}\mathrm{Nil}_{k}^{\flat} \to \mathrm{SSet}$$

The following proposition is obtained as in the same way of [22, Prop 3.16, Rmk 3.17]

Proposition 4.4. $(\bar{W} \circ N)(\mathcal{F}_{-})$ is an almost finitely presented derived geometric 1-stack. Moreover, the stacks $(\bar{W} \circ N)(\mathcal{F}_{-})$ and $[\mathbb{R}Spec(B_{-})/P]$ are weakly equivalent.

Definition 4.5. Let $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_s \in \mathbb{Q}[t]$ such that $\alpha_1 \succ \alpha_2 \succ \dots \succ \alpha_s$. Let $\tilde{U} \subset \mathbb{R}\text{Spec}(B_-)$ be the open derived subscheme of $\mathbb{R}\text{Spec}(B_-)$ corresponding to U in 3.16. Note that \tilde{U} is P-invariant. So, from the weak equivalence of 4.4, we define the corresponding subfunctor of \mathcal{F}_- . We denote it by $\mathcal{F}_{(\alpha_1,\dots,\alpha_s)}^{\text{HN}}$.

Definition 4.6 ([23, Definition 1.8, 1.13 and Lemma 1.12]). Let $\mathcal{G} : \mathrm{dg}_{\leq 0}\mathrm{Alg}_k \to \mathrm{SSet}$ be a homotopypreserving and homotopy-homogeneous functor (e.g. if \mathcal{G} is a derived geometric *n*-stack). For any $C \in \mathrm{dg}_{\leq 0}\mathrm{Alg}_k$, let $x \in \mathcal{G}(C)$ be a point. We define the tangent functor

$$\begin{array}{ll} T_x(\mathcal{G}/k) & : & \mathrm{dg}_{\leq 0}\mathrm{Mod}_C \longrightarrow \mathrm{SSet} \\ & & M \longmapsto T_x(\mathcal{G}/k)(M) := \mathcal{G}(C \oplus M) \times^h_{\mathcal{G}(C)} \{x\}. \end{array}$$

We also define

$$D_x^{n-i}(\mathcal{G}, M) := \pi_i(T_x(\mathcal{G}/k)(M[-n]))$$

Note that D_x^{n-i} is not dependent on n, i in the above definition.

Remark 4.7. We have the equivalence of (non-derived) stacks

(n+1)-times

$$\mathcal{FC}oh_{(\alpha_1,\cdots,\alpha_s)}^{\mathrm{HN}}(X) \simeq \pi_0[\tilde{U}/P]$$
(4.1.1)

by Definition 4.5 and (1) of Theorem 3.17, where $\pi_0[\tilde{U}/P]$ is the non-derived stack associated to $[\tilde{U}/P]$. From Corollary 3.12, (2) of Theorem 3.17 and Proposition 4.4, We have

$$H^{i}T^{\bullet}_{[\mathscr{F}]}\mathcal{RFC}oh^{\mathrm{HN}}_{(\alpha_{1},\cdots,\alpha_{s})}(X) \simeq \mathrm{D}^{i}_{\Gamma^{\mathrm{fil}}_{[p,q]}(\mathscr{F})}([\tilde{U}/P],k).$$
(4.1.2)

So, our translation of $\mathcal{RFC}oh_{(\alpha_1,\cdots,\alpha_s)}^{\mathrm{HN}}(X)$ into $[\tilde{U}/P]$ (and $(\bar{W} \circ N)(\underbrace{\mathcal{F}_{(\alpha_1,\cdots,\alpha_s)}^{\mathrm{HN}}})$) is suitable.

4.2. Another construction of deived moduli of HN-filtrations by methods by Di Natale and Pridham. Here, we construct derived moduli stacks of HN-filtrations by using the method in [8]. From now, X means a smooth projective variety over k.

Definition 4.8. Let C be a k-algebra. We define $\operatorname{QCoh}(X \times_k \operatorname{Spec}(C))_{\text{flat}}$ (resp. $\operatorname{FQCoh}(X \times_k \operatorname{Spec}(C))_{\text{flat}}$) to be tha category of (resp. filtered) quasi-coherent sheaves on $X \times_k \operatorname{Spec}(C)$ which are (resp. whose associated graded sheaves) are flat over $\operatorname{Spec}(C)$.

Definition 4.9. Let O^{\bullet} be a cosimplicial k-algebra. We define $Mod(O^{\bullet})$ (resp. $FMod(O^{\bullet})$) to be the category of cosimplicial O^{\bullet} -modules (resp. filtered cosimplicial O^{\bullet} -modules). We also define $Mod_{cart}(O^{\bullet})$ (resp. $FMod_{cart}(O^{\bullet})$) to be the category of cosimplicial cartesian O^{\bullet} -modules (resp. filtered cosimplicial cartesian O^{\bullet} -modules).

We define $\operatorname{dgMod}(O^{\bullet}), \operatorname{dgFMod}(O^{\bullet}), \operatorname{dgMod}_{\operatorname{cart}}(O^{\bullet}), \operatorname{dgFMod}_{\operatorname{cart}}(O^{\bullet})$ in the same way.

Example 4.10. Let $\{U_i\}_{i \in I}$ be afinite open affine covering of X and let $\mathcal{U} := \coprod_i U_i$. We define a simplicial scheme \mathcal{U}^{\bullet} and a cosimplicial k-algebra $\mathcal{O}(X \times_k \operatorname{Spec}(C))^{\bullet}$ as follows;

$$\mathcal{U}_n := \overbrace{\mathcal{U} \times_X \mathcal{U} \times_X \cdots \times_X \mathcal{U}}^{(C+1)} = \prod_{i_0, \cdots, i_n} U_{i_0 \cdots i_n} = \prod_{i_0, \cdots, i_n} U_{i_0} \times_X \cdots \times_X U_{i_n},$$
$$O(X \times_k \operatorname{Spec}(C))^n := \Gamma \left(\mathcal{U}_n \times_k \operatorname{Spec}(C), \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{U}_n \times_k \operatorname{Spec}(C)} \right)$$

, where C is a k-algebra. Note that $\operatorname{dgMod}(O(X \times_k \operatorname{Spec}(C))^{\bullet})$ and $\operatorname{dgFMod}(O(X \times_k \operatorname{Spec}(C))^{\bullet})$ have model structures ([8, page 835, 844]). Because $X \times_k \operatorname{Spec}(C)$ is quasi-compact and semi-separated, we have

$$\operatorname{Ho}(\operatorname{dgMod}_{\operatorname{cart}}(O(X \times_k \operatorname{Spec}(C))^{\bullet})) \simeq D(\operatorname{QCoh}(X \times_k \operatorname{Spec}(C))) \quad ([14, \operatorname{Thm} 5.5.1]).$$

In particular, we have a equivalence

$$\operatorname{Mod}_{\operatorname{cart}}(O(X \times_k \operatorname{Spec}(C))^{\bullet}) \simeq \operatorname{Qcoh}(X \times_k \operatorname{Spec}(C))$$

$$(4.2.1)$$

, where the correspondence from the left-hand (resp.right-hand) side to the right-hand (resp.left-hand) side is obtained by the sheafification functor (resp.the global section functor). Since the sheafification functor and the global section functor preserve inclusions, we also have a equivalence

$$\operatorname{FMod}_{\operatorname{cart}}(O(X \times_k \operatorname{Spec}(C))^{\bullet}) \simeq \operatorname{FQCoh}(X \times_k \operatorname{Spec}(C)).$$
 (4.2.2)

Using approaches by Di Natale and Pridham and Example 4.10, we obtain another construction of derived moduli stacks of HN-filtrations. We first consider the functor

$$\mathcal{FM}od_{\operatorname{cart}}(O(X \times_k -))_{\operatorname{flat}} : \operatorname{Alg}_k \longrightarrow \operatorname{sCat}$$
$$C \longmapsto \operatorname{FMod}_{\operatorname{cart}}(O(X \times_k \operatorname{Spec}(C))^{\bullet})_{\operatorname{flat}}.$$

We also define $\mathcal{F}dg\mathcal{M}od_{cart}(O(X \times_k -))$ in the same way. By the flatness of the objects in $FMod_{cart}(O(X \times_k \operatorname{Spec}(C))^{\bullet})_{flat}$, the functor $\mathcal{FM}od_{cart}(O(X) \times_k -)_{flat}$ is embedded in the functor $\mathcal{F}dg\mathcal{M}od_{cart}(O(X \times_k -))$ and this is an open embedding.

When we denote the subfunctor of $\mathcal{FM}od_{cart}(O(X \times_k -))_{flat}$ which parametrizes coherent sheaves by $\mathcal{FM}od_{coh}(O(X \times_k -))_{flat}$, we get a open embedding $\mathcal{FM}od_{coh}(O(X \times_k -))_{flat} \hookrightarrow \mathcal{FM}od_{cart}(O(X \times_k -))_{flat}$.

Let $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_s \in \mathbb{Q}[t]$ such that $\alpha_1 \succ \alpha_2 \succ \dots \succ \alpha_s$. We define the functor $\mathcal{FMod}_{\operatorname{coh},(\alpha_1,\dots,\alpha_s)}^{\operatorname{HN}}$ $(O(X \times_k -))_{\operatorname{flat}}$ by using the equivalence 4.2.2 as follows:

$$\mathcal{FMod}_{\operatorname{coh},(\alpha_{1},\cdots,\alpha_{s})}^{\operatorname{HN}}(O(X\times_{k}-))_{\operatorname{flat}} : \operatorname{Alg}_{k} \longrightarrow \operatorname{sCat}$$

$$C \longmapsto \left\{ \begin{array}{c} \mathcal{M} \in \operatorname{FMod}_{\operatorname{cart}}(O(X\times_{k}\operatorname{Spec}(C))^{\bullet})_{\operatorname{flat}} \text{ such that} \\ \operatorname{the \ corresponding \ object \ in \ FQcoh}(X\times_{k}\operatorname{Spec}(C)) \\ \text{ is an \ object \ in \ } \mathcal{FCoh}_{(\alpha_{1},\cdots,\alpha_{s})}^{\operatorname{HN}}(X) \end{array} \right\}$$

We have an embedding $\mathcal{FMod}_{\operatorname{coh},(\alpha_1,\cdots,\alpha_s)}^{\operatorname{HN}}(O(X \times_k -))_{\operatorname{flat}} \hookrightarrow \mathcal{FMod}_{\operatorname{coh}}(O(X \times_k -))_{\operatorname{flat}}$ because we have an open immersion of moduli stacks $\mathcal{FCoh}_{(\alpha_1,\cdots,\alpha_s)}^{\operatorname{HN}}(X) \hookrightarrow \mathcal{FCoh}(X)$. In addition, when let $\mathbf{M}_{\operatorname{flit}}^0$ be the functor defined in [8, page 849], we have an open embedding $\mathcal{FMod}_{\operatorname{coh},(\alpha_1,\cdots,\alpha_s)}^{\operatorname{HN}}(O(X \times_k -))_{\operatorname{flat}} \hookrightarrow \mathbf{M}_{\operatorname{filt}}^0$ and $\mathbf{M}_{\operatorname{filt}}^0$ satisfies the conditions of [8, Cor 3.32]. Moreover, the corresponding groupoid-valued functor $\pi_0 \mathcal{FMod}_{\operatorname{coh},(\alpha_1,\cdots,\alpha_s)}^{\operatorname{HN}}(O(X \times_k -))_{\operatorname{flat}}$ is a stack because $\mathcal{FCoh}_{(\alpha_1,\cdots,\alpha_s)}^{\operatorname{HN}}(X)$ is a stack. Thus, we obtain the following proposition.

Proposition 4.11. The functor $\mathcal{FMod}_{coh,(\alpha_1,\dots,\alpha_s)}^{HN}(O(X \times_k -))_{flat}$ satisfies the conditions of [8, Cor 3.32]. To be more precise, we consider the functor

$$\overbrace{\mathcal{FMod}}^{HN}_{coh,(\alpha_1,\cdots,\alpha_s)}(O(X\times_k-))_{flat} : \mathrm{dg}_{\leq 0}\mathrm{Nil}_k^{\flat} \longrightarrow \mathrm{sCat} \\
C \longmapsto \begin{cases} \mathcal{M} \in \mathrm{FdgMod}_{cart}(O(X\times_k\mathrm{Spec}(C))^{\bullet}) \text{ such that} \\ \mathcal{M} \text{ is cofibrant and } \mathcal{M} \otimes_C H^0(C) \text{ is weakly equivalent to} \\ an \text{ object in } \mathcal{FMod}_{coh,(\alpha_1,\cdots,\alpha_s)}^{HN}(O(X\times_k\mathrm{Spec}(H^0(C)))^{\bullet})_{flat}. \end{cases}$$

Then, the simplicial set-valued functor $(\overline{W} \circ N) \underbrace{\mathcal{FMod}}_{coh,(\alpha_1,\cdots,\alpha_s)}^{HN} (O(X \times_k -))_{flat}$ is a derived geometric 1-stack.

4.3. Comparing two construction of derived moduli spaces of HN-filtrations. We compare two constructions in Subsection 4.1 and 4.2. We need the following lemma to do it.

Lemma 4.12 ([11, Lemma 6.24]). Let $\Phi: \mathcal{Y} \to \mathcal{Z}$ be a morphism of n-geometric derived stacks. Then, Φ is a weak equivalence if and only if

- (1) $\Phi(C): \mathcal{Y}(C) \to \mathcal{Z}(C)$ is a weak equivalence for any k-algebra C, and
- (2) for all k-algebra C, all C-module N and all $y \in \mathcal{Y}(C)$, the maps $D^i_y(\mathcal{Y}, N) \to D^i_{\Phi_T}(\mathcal{Z}, N)$ are isomorphisms for all i > 0.

Theorem 4.13. The derived stacks $[\tilde{U}/P]$ in Definition 4.5 and $(\bar{W} \circ N) \underbrace{\mathcal{FMod}}_{coh,(\alpha_1,\cdots,\alpha_n)}^{HN} (O(X \times_k \mathbb{R}))$ $-))_{flat}$ in Proposition 4.11 are weakly equivalent.

Proof.

Step 1. From Proposition 4.4, it is enough to prove $(\bar{W} \circ N)(\mathcal{F}_{(\alpha_1,\cdots,\alpha_s)}^{\mathrm{HN}})$ and $(\bar{W} \circ N)(\mathcal{F}_{(\alpha_1,\cdots,\alpha_s)}^{\mathrm{HN}})$ N) $\mathcal{FMod}_{\operatorname{coh},(\alpha_1,\cdots,\alpha_s)}^{\operatorname{HN}}$ $(O(X \times_k -))_{\operatorname{flat}}$ are weakly equivalent. To construct a morphism between them, we construct a morphism from $\mathcal{F}_{(\alpha_1,\cdots,\alpha_s)}^{\text{HN}}$ to $\mathcal{FMod}_{\operatorname{coh},(\alpha_1,\cdots,\alpha_s)}^{\text{HN}}(O(X \times_k -)z)_{\text{flat}}$. For all $C \in \mathrm{dg}_{\leq 0}\mathrm{Nil}_k^{\flat}$, objects of $\mathcal{F}_{(\alpha_1, \cdots, \alpha_s)}^{\mathrm{HN}}(C)$ are given by the triples $(\{C \to C_i\}, \{\omega_i\}, \{g_{ij}\})$, where

- (1) $C \to C_i$: strict étale morphisms such that $\{\operatorname{Spec}(C_i^0) \to \operatorname{Spec}(C^0)\}$ is an étale covering,
- (1) $C \to C_i$ is sufficient to the presence of the presence o $C_i^0 \otimes_{C^0} C_j^0 \otimes_{C^0} C_k^0 \otimes_{C^0} C.$

In other words, a object in $\mathcal{F}_{(\alpha_1,\dots,\alpha_s)}^{\text{HN}}(C)$ is a filtered graded locally free C^0 -module M with a filtered graded unital C-linear A_{∞} -action μ of $A \otimes_k C$ on $M \otimes_{C^0} C$ such that $H^0(M \otimes_{C^0} C)$ is an object in $\mathcal{FC}oh_{(\alpha_1,\cdots,\alpha_s)}^{\mathrm{HN}}(X)$.

Step 2. The condition M has an A_{∞} -action of $A \otimes_k C$ induces a dg-action μ' of $A \otimes_k C$ on $\operatorname{Bar}_{A\otimes_k C}(M\otimes_{C^0} C)$ ([6, Proposition 3.9]). In addition, for any dg-module N over a dg-algebra C, we have a surjection from a sum of shifts C[p] of C and mapping cones $\operatorname{Cone}(\operatorname{id}_{C[p]})$ of $\operatorname{id}_{C[p]}: C[p] \to C[p]$ (in detail, see [17]). So, we have a filtered exact sequence

$$\bigoplus_{j \in J} A(-m_j) \otimes_k M'_j \to \bigoplus_{i \in I} A(-n_i) \otimes_k N'_i \to \operatorname{Bar}_{A \otimes_k C}(M \otimes_{C^0} C) \to 0$$
(4.3.1)

, where M'_i, N'_i are C[p] or Cone(id_{C[p]}) for some p (p are different for each of M'_i and N'_i). From the first map of the above exact sequence, we have a morphism of dg-sheaves on the dg-scheme $X \times_k \operatorname{Spec}(C)$

$$\bigotimes_{j\in J} (\operatorname{pr}_2^* \widetilde{M}'_j)(-m_j) \to \bigotimes_{i\in I} (\operatorname{pr}_2^* \widetilde{N}'_i)(-n_i)$$
(4.3.2)

, where $\widetilde{M}'_i, \widetilde{N}'_i$ are the dg-sheafification of M'_i and N'_i and $\operatorname{pr}_2 : X \times_k \operatorname{Spec}(C) \to \operatorname{Spec}(C)$ is the projection. We define $\tilde{\mathscr{S}}(M \otimes_{C^0} C)$ to be the cokernel of this map. If we take H^0 in 4.3.1, then the corresponding exact sequence is a free presentation of $H^0(\operatorname{Bar}_{A\otimes_k C}(M\otimes_{C^0} C)) \simeq M \otimes_{C^0} H^0(C)$. Note that If N'_i is a p'-shift of C[p'] or Cone $(\operatorname{id}_{C[p']})$, then we can assume $p' \ge 0$ because $\operatorname{Bar}^i_{A\otimes_k C}(M\otimes_{C^0} C))$ for any i > 0. Similary, M'_j is a p''-shift of C[p''] or Cone $(\operatorname{id}_{C[p'']})$, then we can assume $p'' \ge 0$. This means that $\mathcal{H}^{0}(\tilde{\mathscr{I}}(M \otimes_{C^{0}} C) \otimes \mathscr{O}_{X \times_{k} \operatorname{Spec}(H^{0}(C))})$ is an object in $\mathcal{FC}\operatorname{oh}_{(\alpha_{1}, \dots, \alpha_{s})}^{\operatorname{HN}}(X)$ by Theorem 3.15 and its proof. Here, \mathcal{H}^0 is the functor taking 0-th cohomology of cochain complexes of sheaves. Note that we can prove $\mathcal{H}^0(\tilde{\mathscr{I}}(M \otimes_{C^0} C) \otimes \mathscr{O}_{X \times_k \operatorname{Spec}(H^0(C))})$ is flat over $\operatorname{Spec}(H^0(C))$ from the equivalence in , right adjointness of $\Gamma_{[p,q]}$ and isomorphisity of the counit maps obtained by adjoints. Then, we think of $\mathscr{P}(M \otimes_{C^0} C)$ as an object in dgFMod $(O(X \times_k \operatorname{Spec}(C))^{\bullet})$ by taking the global section functor. Thus, from the above discussion, we have a morphism of simplicial category-valued functors

REFERENCES

from $\underline{\mathcal{F}}_{(\alpha_1,\dots,\alpha_s)}^{\text{HN}}$ to $\underbrace{\mathcal{FMod}}_{\operatorname{coh},(\alpha_1,\dots,\alpha_s)}^{\text{HN}}(O(X \times_k -))_{\text{flat}}$. After that, we take the functor $\overline{W} \circ N$ and obtain a functor between derived stacks

$$\Psi: (\bar{W} \circ N)(\underline{\mathcal{F}_{(\alpha_1, \cdots, \alpha_s)}^{\mathrm{HN}}}) \to (\bar{W} \circ N) \underbrace{\mathcal{FMod}}_{\mathrm{coh}, (\alpha_1, \cdots, \alpha_s)}^{\mathrm{HN}}(O(X \times_k -))_{\mathrm{flat}}.$$
(4.3.3)

Step 3. Next, we see that Ψ satisfies the conditions of Lemma 4.12. We have Ψ satisfies the condition (1) of the lemma from Remark 4.7 and Theorem 3.15. As for the condition (2), for any object $M \in (\bar{W} \circ N)(\mathcal{F}_{(\alpha_1,\cdots,\alpha_s)}^{\mathrm{HN}})(C)$, we have an object $\mathscr{F} \in \mathcal{FCoh}_{(\alpha_1,\cdots,\alpha_s)}^{\mathrm{HN}}(X)$ such that $M \simeq \Gamma_{[p,q]}^{\mathrm{fu}}(\mathscr{F})$ and $\mathrm{Ext}_{-}^{i}(\mathscr{F}, \mathscr{F} \otimes_{C}^{\mathbb{L}} N) \simeq D_{M}^{i}(\bar{W} \circ N)(\mathcal{F}_{(\alpha_1,\cdots,\alpha_s)}^{\mathrm{HN}}), N)$. And, for any $\mathscr{F} \in \mathcal{FCoh}_{(\alpha_1,\cdots,\alpha_s)}^{\mathrm{HN}}(X)$, we can take a filtered locally free resolution $\mathscr{E}^{\bullet} \to \mathscr{F} \to 0$ and calculate $\mathrm{Ext}_{-}^{i}(\mathscr{F}, \mathscr{F} \otimes_{C}^{\mathbb{L}} N)$ by this resolution. Moreover, in order to calculate $D_{\Psi(M)}^{i}((\bar{W} \circ N) \mathcal{FMod}_{\mathrm{coh},(\alpha_1,\cdots,\alpha_s)}^{\mathrm{HN}}(O(X \times_k -))_{\mathrm{flat}}, N)$, we can take a flat resolution of $\Psi(M)$ instead of a cofibrant resolution (this is because derived functors are calculated by their deformations. in detail, see [27, Prop 3.4])). Thus, we have the condition (2) from the equivalence 4.2.2 and the fact that $\mathscr{E}^{\bullet} \to \mathscr{F} \to 0$ is mapped to a flat resolution by this equivalence. Therefore, Ψ is a weak equivalence and this completes our proof.

Remark 4.14. We can carry the same argument in the case of derived moduli stacks of (non-filtered) semistable sheaves: first, we translate the dg-stacks of semistable sheaves constructed in [3] into derived stacks in the sense of Toën, on the other hand, we construct derived moduli stacks of semistable sheaves by using techniques of Pridham and Di Natale, finally, we can show weak equivalence between them.

Example 4.15. We provide a simple example of Lagrangian morphisms related to derived moduli stacks of HN-filtrations. Let X be a projective Calabi-Yau variety of dimension d. Then, the derived stack $\mathcal{RCoh}(X)$ of coherent sheaves on X is equipped with a (2 - d)-shifted symplectic structure (for example, see [29, Section 5.3]). We also have the derived moduli of filtered coherent sheaves on X is weakly equivarent to the derived moduli of sequences of morphisms in the dg-category $\operatorname{Coh}_{dg}(X)$ of coherent sheaves on X (cf. [9, Remark 3.6]). Note that derived moduli of sequences of morphisms of coherent sheaves are defined as in the case of filtered sheaves by using a model structure on the category of morphisms in a stable model category which is constructed in [12]. Moreover, we can show that the derived moduli of sequences of morphisms of length 1 in $\operatorname{Coh}_{dg}(X)$ is weakly equivalent to the derived moduli of morphisms in $\operatorname{Coh}_{dg}(X)$ which is defined in [30, Definition 3.18] by comparing their tangent complexes. On the other hand, for any dg-category T over k which is smooth, proper and equipped with an orientation of dimension d, the derived moduli stack $\mathcal{M}_T^{(1)}$ of morphisms in T has a correspondence

$$\mathcal{M}_T \times \mathcal{M}_T \xleftarrow{s,c} \mathcal{M}_T^{(1)} \xrightarrow{t} \mathcal{M}_T,$$

,where s, c, t send morphisms in T to their sources, cones and targets respectively. This induces a Lagrangian structure with respect to the (2 - d)-shifted symplectic structure on \mathcal{M}_T^3 (cf. [29, Section 5.3], [5, Corollary 6.5]). So, considering the above argument and that derived moduli of HN-filtrations are open substacks of derived moduli of filtered sheaves, we have morphisms from the derived moduli stacks of length 2 HN-filtrations to $\mathcal{RCoh}(X)^3$ which carries Lagrangian structures with respect to the (2 - d)-shifted symplectic structure on $\mathcal{RCoh}(X)^3$. This gives a symplectic geometrical interpretation of moduli of unstable sheaves on X.

Acknowledgements. The auther would like to thank his adviser Professor Hajime Kaji for his constant support. He is also grateful to Professor Ryo Ohkawa for useful conversations. He thank Professor Masahiro Futaki, Professor Isamu Iwanari, Professor Kotaro Kawatani, Professor Hiroyuki Minamoto and Professor Seidai Yasuda for helpful comments.

References

- L. Álvarez-Cónsul and A. King. "A functorial construction of moduli of sheaves". Invent. mathematicae 168.3 (2007), pp. 613–666.
- [2] K. Behrend and B. Noohi. "Moduli of non-commutative polarized schemes". Math. Ann. 371.3 (2018), pp. 1375– 1408.

REFERENCES

- [3] K. Behrend et al. "The derived moduli space of stable sheaves". Algebr. & Number Theory 8.4 (2014), pp. 781 -812
- [4] D. Borisov et al. Global shifted potentials for moduli stacks of sheaves on Calabi-Yau four-folds. 2022. arXiv: 2007.13194 [math.AG].
- C. Brav and T. Dyckerhoff. "Relative Calabi–Yau structures II: Shifted Lagrangians in the moduli of objects". [5] Sel. Math. 27.4 (2021), pp. 1-45.
- [6] I. Ciocan-Fontanine and M. Kapranov. "Derived quot schemes". en. Ann. scientifiques de l'École Norm. Supérieure Ser. 4, 34.3 (2001), pp. 403-440.
- [7] I. Ciocan-Fontanine and M. Kapranov. "Derived Hilbert schemes". J. Am. Math. Soc. 15.4 (2002), pp. 787-815.
- [8] C. Di Natale. "Derived moduli of complexes and derived Grassmannians". Appl. Categ. Struct. 25.5 (2017), pp. 809-861.
- C. Di Natale and J. V. Holstein. "The global derived period map". Adv. Math. 353 (2019), pp. 224–280. [9]
- [10] J.-M. Drezet and J. Le Potier. "Fibrés stables et fibrés exceptionnels sur \mathbb{P}_2 ". fr. Ann. scientifiques de l'École Norm. Supérieure 4e série, 18.2 (1985), pp. 193-243.
- [11]J Eugster and J. Pridham. An introduction to derived (algebraic) geometry. 2021. arXiv: 2109.14594 [math.AG].
- [12]O. Gwilliam and D. Pavlov. "Enhancing the filtered derived category". J. Pure Appl. Algebr. 222.11 (2018), pp. 3621-3674.
- [13]V. Hoskins. "Stratifications for moduli of sheaves and moduli of quiver representations". Algebr. Geom. 5.6 (2018), pp. 650-685.
- T. Hüttemann. "On the derived category of a regular toric scheme". Geom. Dedicata 148.1 (2010), pp. 175-203. [14][15]
- D. Huybrechts and M. Lehn. The geometry of moduli spaces of sheaves. Cambridge University Press, 2010.
- [16]L. Illusie. Complexe Cotangent et Deformations I. Vol. 239. Springer, 1971. [17]B. Keller. Resolutions of dg modules. URL: https://webusers.imj-prg.fr/~bernhard.keller/publ/resolutions.
- pdf.
- [18]R. E. Kremer. "Homological algebra with filtered modules". thesis (2014). URL: https://uknowledge.uky.edu/ math_etds/18.
- [19]C. Nastasescu and F. Van Oystaeyen. Graded and filtered rings and modules. Vol. 758. Springer, 1979.
- T. Pantev et al. "Shifted symplectic structures". Publ. mathématiques de l'IHÉS 117.1 (2013), pp. 271-328. [20]
- [21] A Paul Smith. Graded rings and geometry. URL: https://sites.math.washington.edu/~smith/Teaching/508/ notes.pdf.
- J. P. Pridham. "Constructing derived moduli stacks". Geom. & Topol. 17.3 (2013), pp. 1417-1495. [22]
- [23]J. P. Pridham. "Representability of derived stacks". J. K-Theory 10.2 (2012), 413–453.
- [24]M. Reineke. "The Harder-Narasimhan system in quantum groups and cohomology of quiver moduli". Invent. mathematicae 152.2 (2003), pp. 349-368.
- A. Rudakov. "Stability for an abelian category". J. Algebr. 197.1 (1997), pp. 231–245. [25]
- [26] J.-P. Serre. "Faisceaux algébriques cohérents". Ann. Math. (1955), pp. 197-278.
- [27]M. Shulman. Homotopy limits and colimits and enriched homotopy theory. 2009. arXiv: math/0610194 [math.AT].
- [28]The Stacks Project Authors. Stacks Project. URL: https://stacks.math.columbia.edu.
- [29] B. Toën. "Derived algebraic geometry". EMS Surv. Math. Sci. 1.2 (2014), pp. 153–240.
- [30] B. Toën and M. Vaquié. "Moduli of objects in dg-categories". Annales scientifiques de l'Ecole normale supérieure. Vol. 40. 3. 2007, pp. 387-444.
- [31]B. Toën and G. Vezzosi. "From HAG to DAG: derived moduli stacks". Axiomatic, enriched and motivic homotopy theory. Springer, 2004, pp. 173-216.
- [32]B. Toën and G. Vezzosi. Homotopical Algebraic Geometry II: Geometric Stacks and Applications: Geometric Stacks and Applications. Vol. 2. American Mathematical Soc., 2008.
- C. H. Walter. "Components of the stack of torsion-free sheaves of rank 2 on ruled surfaces". Math. Ann 301 [33] (1995), pp. 699-715.
- [34] C. A. Weibel. An introduction to homological algebra. 38. Cambridge university press, 1994.
- W.-K. Yeung. Pre-Calabi-Yau structures and moduli of representations. 2019. arXiv: 1802.05398 [math.AG]. [35]
- W.-K. Yeung. Shifted symplectic and Poisson structures on global quotients. 2021. arXiv: 2103.09491 [math.AG]. [36]
- [37]K. Yoshioka. "Fourier–Mukai transform on abelian surfaces". Math. Ann 345.3 (2009), pp. 493–524.
- [38]A. Zamora. "On the Harder-Narasimhan filtration for finite dimensional representations of quivers". Geom. Dedicata 170.1 (2014), pp. 185–194.

Email address: m7d5932a72xxgxo@fuji.waseda.jp

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, SCHOOL OF SCIENCE AND ENGINEERING, WASEDA UNIVERSITY, OHKUBO 3-4-1, SHIN-JUKU, TOKYO 169-8555, JAPAN